Appendix 2

Further Submissions

Contents

Further Submission	Submitter
FS-1	Kaimai Properties & Matamata Metal Supplies
FS-2	D & L Swap
FS-3	Environmental Futures
FS-4	Mike Gribble
FS-5	Powerco
FS-6	Federated Farmers
FS-7	Transpower
FS-8	Horticulture New Zealand
FS-9	Te Aroha Business Association
FS-10	Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd
FS-11	New Zealand Transport Agency

From: "Website submission form" <webmaster@mpdc.govt.nz> Sent: Tue, 25 Feb 2014 07:45:09 +1200 To: "Submissions \(Corporate Planning\)" <submissions@mpdc.govt.nz> Subject: TRIM: Further submission on PPC 43 and 44

Name: Kaimai Properties Ltd and Matamata Metal Supplies Ltd Contact person: Gillian Cockerell Address for correspondence: PO Box 121, Hamilton 3240 Phone: 07 857 1825 Fax: Email: gillian.cockerell@aecom.com

Type of submitter: Greater than public interest

Explanation of how you fall within this category: Am a landowner and quarry operator in the District and a primary submitter on the Plan Change directly affected by the provisions

Details of original submission:

Do you support or oppose the original submission?: Support

Original submission number: 14

Name of original submitter: Federated Farmers of NZ

Original submitter's address: PO Box 447, Hamilton

Your submission

The particular parts of the submission I support/oppose are: The rolling review process of the District Plan by a series of plan changes.

Car.

The reasons for my support/opposition are:: Concur with the submitter that the rolling review process prevents an integrated and sustainable approach to the management of the natural and physical resources of the District. It is also difficult for potentially affected and interested parties to know at which stage of the rolling review process they should participate to ensure issues that may affect their livelihood/businesses are adequately addressed.

I seek the following decision from Council. That: part ...

if part, precise details: The rolling review process of the District Plan by a series of plan changes.Of the original submission be: Allowed

I wish to present at the council planning hearing: yes

I would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a similar submission: yes

Kelly Moulder

Kelly Moulder	
From: Sent: Subject:	Website submission form [webmaster@mpdc.govt.nz] Tuesday, 25 February 2014 08:51 Further submission on PPC 43 and 44
Phone: 07 857 1825 Fax: Email: <u>gillian.cockerell@</u> Type of submitter: Great Explanation of how you	ence: PO Box 434, Hamilton 3240
Original submission nun Name of original submit	se the original submission?: Support
District Plan by a series The reasons for my supp process prevents an integ physical resources of the parties to know at which issues that may affect the I seek the following deci if part, precise details:The changes Of the original submiss I wish to present at the c	bort/opposition are:: Concur with the submitter that the rolling review grated and sustainable approach to the management of the natural and e District. It is also difficult for potentially affected and interested a stage of the rolling review process they should participate to ensure eir livelihood/businesses are adequately addressed asion from Council. That: part he rolling review process of the District Plan by a series of plan

1

Kelly Moulder

From: Posted At: Conversation:	Martin Wallace [martin.wallace@clear.net.nz] Wednesday, 26 February 2014 14:43 Revised Version of PC 43 & 44 - Further Submissions - Environmental Futures Inc.
Posted To:	Submissions (Corporate Planning)
Subject:	TRIM: Revised Version of PC 43 & 44 - Further Submissions - Environmental Futures Inc.

Hello again Kelly,

I have attached a different version of the pdf file of the Further Submissions from EF as I found that the earlier one had a couple of formatting errors and was unreadably small when printed. Could you please replace the earlier version sent at 10.46am with this version ?

1

I m happy to send an xls file if that is easier for planning staff to copy and paste in the course of writing any evaluation.

Many thanks,

Martin Wallace

To Matamata Piako District Council

35 Kenrick Street, PO Box 266, Te Aroha 3342, submissions@mpdc.govt.nz

Further Submission on Proposed District Plan Changes 43 and 44

Further submitter's details:

Name: Contact person: Address for correspondence:	Environmental Futures Incorporated Martin Wallace C/o The secretary, Harbottle Road, RD2, Morrinsville 3372
Phone:	07 889 7910
Email	martin.wallace@clear.net.nz

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to a submission on Plan Change 43 – Transportation and Plan Change 44 – Works and Network Utilities.

Environmental Futures is a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest that the general public has.

Environmental Futures has a long history of interest and involvement in the development of the MPDC District Plan and its objects are:

- a. The protection, preservation, enhancement and conservation of the physical, biological, cultural, social and aesthetic environment, with due regard being taken of the needs of future generations, and the economic conditions where these affect or are affected by the environment.
- b. To encourage and promote sound planning principles and practices.
- c. To promote and encourage community education on any aspects of the environment and planning.
- d. To undertake, coordinate and support pubic actions to achieve these objects.

e. To promote changes in legislation pertaining to the objectives of the organisation. Environmental Futures is also identified in the plan as a person to be consulted as appropriate, by persons applying for resource consent, as part of potentially relevant information.

The submissions are attached as an. xlsx file.

Environmental Futures does wish to present at the council planning hearing

Environmental Futures would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a similar submission:

Dated 26 February 2014

	Plan change 43 & 44 Further Submissions - Environmental Futures Inc. (EF)										
Further Submiss ion Number		Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppos	E Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make			
1.1	-	Waikato Regional Council	2.4.6	Sustainable Management Strategy - Integrating Land Use and infrastructure Objective O1, bullet-point 3, Policy P1, and consequential	Retain Objective O1. In relation to Objective O1, add the following bullet- point to Policy P1: "Adverse effects on the natural and physical environment can be appropriately avoided, remedied, and mitigated". Make consequential amendments to the rules to ensure this bullet-point is fully implemented.	Suppor	The suggestion properly fills a gap in the objective and subsequent policies, methods and explanations so that the natural and physical environment is properly considered.	Allow			
1.2			2.4.8	and renewable energy	Amend Policy P2, bullet-point 1 as follows: "their connections to the electricity transmission grid are enabled while managing adverse effects on the environment". Make consequential amendments to the rules to ensure the amended policy is implemented.	Suppor	^t There is no justification for restricting attention to avoidance, mitigation and remedy only of "significant" adverse effects.	Allow			
1.3			3.7.2.1	- Community infrastructure	Amend Policy P3, bullet-point 1 as follows: "To ensure that works and network utilities are considered having particular regard to: - The degree to which further modification would have adverse effects on the natural and physical environment". Make consequential amendments to the rules to ensure the change to the policy is implemented.	Suppor	^t The reasons given by the submitter that just because the environment may have been modified should not prevent consideration of the adverse effects of further modification is supported.	Allow			
2.1	5	Kiwirail	5x	New Rule - Setbacks from a rail corridor.	Proposal to insert a new development control requiring all buildings, balconies, decks, trees and shrubs to be set back at least 10m from the rail corridor boundary, fences and walls to be maintained, and storage and service areas screened; as set out in KiwiRail's Submission Point 17.		The rules suggested are even more restrictive than those proposed in the plan and are opposed for the reason set out in Environmental Futures' original submissions 10 and 12.	Disallow whole			
2.2			15	Definitions - Buffer Corridor	Proposal to add to the definition the following: or an area adjacent to a railway corridor as described in Rule 5.X".	Oppose	As above	Disallow whole			

Further Submiss ion Number	ter Numbe	Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose	Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make
2.3			15	Definitions - Sensitive activity	Proposed re-definition of Reverse Sensitivity to expand its scope to a wider range of activities under different circumstances. That is to amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" to read: "Sensitive activity" means any use of land and/or buildings which is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby pre- existing lawfully established land use in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning; and for the purposes of this plan, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling (including Primary Outdoor Amenity Areas), papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres, Places of Assembly, including churches, community facilities, restaurants and recreational facilities.	Oppose	In the suggested definition the submitter has suggested the term "which is likely to be susceptible" rather than "which is sensitive". In addition the submitter's definition proposes that the sensitive activity shoould merely is susceptible to the effects of noise from the operation of the highway or rail coridor rather than being sensitive to the adverse effects being generated by the road or highway. A reasonable application of the orriginal rule would allow analysis of the degree of sensitivity of the sensitive activity, and the degree to which the operator of the road or rail corridor has taken steps to avoid, remedy or mitigate the primary adverse effects it causes. Only after such a consideration would the orriginal rule need to be applied, whereas in the new definition this consideration would be less able to be applied.	
	8	NZTA	2.4.6	Integrating land-use and infrastructure	Proposal to retain as notified but amend all references to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks" to "existing and planned infrastructure" and "existing and planned networks".	Oppose	Should not make objectives, policies, methods and explanation that relate to infrastructure "planned" only by an agency that does the planning outside a fully public process.	Disallow whole
3.2			2.4	Sustainable Management Strategy	Proposal to amend reference to " <i>existing infrastructure</i> " to " <i>existing and planned infrastructure</i> ".	Oppose	The plan's intent of integrating land use should refer to existing only. The person undertaking the infrastructure development should not be the sole determinant of what is "planned"	Disallow whole

Further Submiss ion Number	 Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose	Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make
3.3		3.5.2 06	Nuisance Effects	Proposal to amend Objective O6 as follows: "To ensure that subdivision and land use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises existing and planned infrastructure networks".	Oppose	How is the public supposed to know what an agency such as NZTA has planned. The obvious answer is for it to seek inclusion of such long term plans in the district plan. Otherwise, if only the NZTA is able to say what it has planned, then there would be no ability for the public to have input.	Disallow whole
3.4		(Wrongly	Information requirements for resource consents - Written Report	Delete reference to Integrated Transport Assessment Guidelines	Oppose	Deletion of reference to this guide leaves uncertainty as to whether adequate assessment is in fact done and whether such an assessment is done by a qualified person.	Disallow whole
3.5		5.2.9	Internal Noise Limits - railway lines and state highways	Re-titling and introduction of new Permitted Activity performance standard that would make development within new buffer areas non- complying.	Oppose	This proposal further restricts development of property owners' land even if they were to meet the proposed internal noise limits.	Disallow whole
3.6		5.9.2(i)(g)	Integrating land-use and infrastructure - Performance Outcomes	Reference to service or connection by roading and reference to the roading hierarchy.	Oppose	The use of the term "served by" does not need to be changed to "connected by". Also, there is no need to refer to the Roading Hierarchy with respect to existing roads. For new roads this guideline should not be referenced as it is not the exclusive method or reference point to determine what new roads might adequately serve the development.	Disallow whole
3.7		5.9.2(i)(h)	Integrating land-use and infrastructure - Performance Outcomes	Reference to planned infrastructure in sub-clause.	Oppose	It is sufficient for the development to be assessed against efficient use of existing infrastructure networks.	Disallow whole

Further Submiss ion Number	ter Numbe	Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose	Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make
3.8			8.1	Matters of discretion/discretionary assessment criteria/guidance for non- complying activities applicable to Sections 8.1– 8.5, and 8.8–8.9.	Proposed inclusion of an additional assessment criterion that assesses effects on the roading network	Oppose	This is unnecessary as adverse effects generally are matters already included in 8.10(xxii). It would be unreasonable to single out effects on the roading network as a special case.	Disallow whole
3.9			New Appendix in relation to Rule 1.1.14(ix)		Proposal to remove reference to the "Integrated Transport Assessment Guidelines, November 2010, NZTA Research Report 422" and include a new "Appendix 11 -(Titled Apendix 1 in the submission) Information Requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments".	Oppose	C.f. reasons given in Further Submission 3.4 above.	Disallow whole
4.1	9	Vector Gas	All Maps		Proposed inclusion of the alignment of gas transmission pipelines within the Planning Maps and identify within the Legend as "Gas Transmission Pipeline".	Support	The gas infrastructure should reasonably be included.	Allow
5.1		Properti es & Matamat a Metal Supplies	5 - Develop ment Concept	Schedule 5 - Development Concept Plans - Barton Road Development Concept Plan (DCP)	Amend the Barton Road DCP to ensure there is appropriate provision for, and correct cross- referencing to, the relevant Network Utility rules which have been amended by the proposed Plan Change.		Consistency with new changes to the plan should be allowed in the case of the DCP for this site but this plan change is not the place to modify specifically the DCP where it would not be consistent with the underlying zone.	Disallow in part

Submiss	ter Numbe	Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose		Decision that EF wants Council to make
6.1	16	Swap	5 -	Schedule 5 - Development Concept Plans - Dl & JL Swap DCP	Amend the Swap DCP to ensure there is appropriate provision for, and correct cross-referencing to, the relevant Network Utility rules which have been amended by the proposed Plan Change.	in part	Consistency with new changes to the plan should be allowed in the case of the DCP for this site but this plan change is not the place to modify specifically the DCP where it would not be consistent with the underlying zone.	Disallow in part
7.1	17	New Zealand Historic Places Trust	2.4.6	Integrating land-use and infrastructure - Policies P1 - P6	Add the following bullet-point to Policy P1: "The historic heritage of the District is not significantly adversely affected".	Support	It is important to ensure historic heritage of the district is considered and in the policy is not otherwise covered.	Allow
7.2	18		2.4.7	Regionally significant infrastructure - Objective O2 and Policy P2	Amend Objective O2 as follows: "Operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of regionally significant infrastructure is enabled, efficiency is promoted, and the asset is protected to promote the economic, social, and cultural wellbeing of national, regional and local communities, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment ". Amend Policy P2 as follows: "Require the development and upgrading of regionally significant infrastructure to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the:• Health, safety, and wellbeing of people; • Visual and amenity values; • Natural and physical environment; • Historic heritage and the intrinsic values of scheduled sites; and • Existing sensitive activities".	Support	Deletion of the qualifying statements about the extent of avoidance, remedy or mitigation is supported as it dilutes the duty prescribed in the Act. It is important to include Historic heritage where suggested.	Allow

Further Submiss ion Number	ter Numbe	Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose	Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make
8.1	24	Chorus	8.1.1 - New provision Earthwor ks and vegetatio n trimming	Proposed new provision	Insertion of a new rule providing exclusions for telecommunications infrastructure from earthworks and vegetation trimming requirements elsewhere in the Plan.	Oppose	This should not apply with respect to new telecommunication infrastructure	Disallow whole
9.1	26	Ventus Energy NZ Ltd	3.1.2.1	Natural Environment and Heritage - Landscape Character - Policies	Amend the polices as follows: "Protect the elements from inappropriate use or development. Not inappropriately detract from the amenity values of the landscape"	Oppose	It is not clear from the submission just what is proposed and where it is intended to be inserted but it is not appropriate to modify this policy by way of the proposed plan change and it does not serve to adequately meet the objective.	Disallow whole
9.2			Planning Map 3	Planning Map 3	Amend the extent of the Kaitiaki (Conservation) Zone to coincide with the existing bush line	Oppose	The zone boundary as been placed so as to reflect the character and importance of the landscape, and biodiversity of the Kaitiake zone and just because the bush line is set back from the zone boundary does not mean that the protections afforded by the zone rules should not apply. further, such a justification for amendment has in the past led to bush being cleared and than rezoned which would threaten the overall purpose of the zoning as others have.	Disallow whole
9.3			8.3.2(i)(c)	Renewable energy generation - Performance standards	Delete the requirement for monitoring masts to comply with height-to- boundary rules.	Oppose	There is no justification for masts not to comply with height to boundary rules so as to protect neighboring properties from adverse effects. If the particular mast contemplated would not adversely affect the persons beyond the boundary then there may be opportunity to gain a non-complying consent. It should however be assessed in the light of the existing rule.	Disallow whole

Submiss	 Submitter	Clause	Clause Description	Change Sought by Submitter	Support /Oppose	Reasons for our Support or Opposition	Decision that EF wants Council to make
9.4			Scale Wind Farms	Proposed inclusion of the following provision for large-scale wind farms: "A turbine or turbines in proposed large-scale wind farms may overhang a Kaitiaki (Conservation) Zone, but the foundations must not be located within the Kaitiaki (Conservation) Zone - Restricted-discretionary activity. Discretionary activity status shall only apply where the foundations are located within the Kaitiaki (Conservation) Zone".		This rule would likely depend on the height to boundary rules being breached in any case which is opposed but such a rule would very likely cause adverse effects as the values protected by the zoning and rules would likely be degraded if such a rule were allowed.	Disallow whole
9.5			significant natural features	Proposed amendment to the Schedule to exclude land beyond the extent of the forest. Also exclude the Transpower grid corridor where appropriate.		The forest itself is not the sole matter that gives rise to the significance of the natural features and items to be protected by the scheduling. Buffers and management afforded by the applicable rules are necessary to ensure the identified features are properly protected.	Disallow whole

Kelly Moulder

Website submission form [webmaster@mpdc.govt.nz] Wednesday, 26 February 2014 09:47 Further submission on PPC 43 and 44 Submissions (Corporate Planning)
TRIM: Further submission on PPC 43 and 44
ribble nce: No2 RD 32 Scott Road Morrinsville om ter than public interest fall within this category: I am a resident and ratepayer of the district input to submissions to the District Plan since its inception
ission: se the original submission?: Oppose nber: 5,8,19,23 &14,17 ter: As per list ress: As per list
e submission I support/oppose are: You website does not acept the email this portion of my submissions port/opposition are:: Kiwirail -Submitter number 5 Pkiwirail.co.nz sought by submitter Oppose Reason Decision I wish Council e Objective O6 as follows: "To Oppose There is enough protection and afforded by the original words an amendment cated and sited e networks and everse- se s over prescriptive Disallow this request for at control and unnecessary. a rule change all buildings, decks, trees and shrubs to be

set back at least 10m from the rail corridor boundary, fences and walls to be maintained, and storage and service areas screened; as set out in KiwiRail's Submission Point 17. Add a new rule 5.X, or a location in the Plan which will have district-wide applicability: 5.X Setbacks from a Rail Corridor Buildings, balconies and decks shall be setback at least 10 metres from the rail corridor boundary and; Trees and shrubs shall be setback at least 10 metres from the rail corridor boundary and shall not comprise nuisance or weed species and; Trees and shrubs shall be maintained such that they do not encroach into the setback and Fences or walls adjoining the rail corridor boundary shall be - setback or sited in a way that enables maintenance to be undertaken without requiring access to the rail corridor, and - maintained in a good state of repair fit for purpose, and free of graffiti and Storage and service areas and shall be screened so they are not visible from the rail corridor and Where buildings, other than residential, are developed introduce appropriate screening and other provisions for service areas and storage areas facing the rail corridor. 15 Definitions - This definition defines the term Disallow this Sensitive Sensitivity activities beyond the amendment activity normal interpretation that would be accepted by the general public Amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as follows: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity any use of Oppose land and/or buildings

which is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby pre-existing lawfully established landuse in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning; and for the purposes of this Plan, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling (including Primary Outdoor Amenity Areas), papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres, Places of Assembly, including churches, community facilities, restaurants and recreational facilities". 15 Definitions -Buffer zone Amend the definition of "Buffer Oppose Corridor" to read: means a corridor comprising the "red zone" and the "green zone" as follows: (i) A 16m wide corridor measured from the centreline of the HIN-KPO A transmission line as identified on the planning maps. (ii) A 32m wide corridor measured from the centreline of the HAM-WHU A and WHUWKO A transmission lines as identified on the planning maps. Or an area adjacent to a railway corridor as described in Rule 5.X. There is enough protection for Disallow this the rail corridor amendment NZ Transport Agency- Submitter Number 8 Caitlin Kelly email - Caitlin.Kelly@nzta.govt.nz **Clause Subject** Number Change sought by submitter

Oppose /support 2.4.6 Integrating Retain as notified but amend all Oppose The planned network land-use and references to "existing may never happen. infrastructure infrastructure" and "existing There are planned networks" to "existing and bypass's in the district planned that will never go ahead infrastructure" and "existing and in future and certainly planned networks". within the designated period they are required to. 5.2.9 Internal noise limits railway lines and state highways Amend Rule 5.2.9 as set out below: Oppose "5.2.9 Internal noise limits – railway lines and state highways Noise insulation: noise sensitive activities (i) Performance Standards (x) New and altered buildings shall be set back: • 10m from a state highway where the posted speed is less than 70km/h; • 20m from a state highway where the posted speed is 70km/h or more. The set back shall be measured from the edge of the nearest traffic lane. (a) New buildings or additions to existing buildings to be used for a noise sensitive activity located: (i) Within 40m of an operational railway line: (ii) Within 80m of a state highway with where the site's frontage has a posted speed limit of 70km/h or above; or

(iii) On a front site or a corner site that directly adjoins a state highway where the posted speed limit is less than 70km/h and that has accompanying building platform that is within 40m of the state highway with a speed limit of less than 70km/h; Shall be designed, insulated, constructed, or screened by suitable barriers to ensure that noise received within any new bedroom, habitable space, or other space containing a noise sensitive activity, will not exceed the limits below:..... (b) The distances referred to above are measured from the: • Edge of a railway track; • Edge of seal nearest traffic lane of the state highway; • Face of the closest external wall of a new building or addition to an Reason The request is not necessary Decision I wish Council to make Remove the word "planned" from the requested amendment As requested by submitter No 7 existing building. (c) If windows are required to be closed to achieve the noise limits above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: • A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall

Appendix 2 - Page 19

not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. • Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting..... (iii) Restricted-discretionary Noncomplying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary noncomplying activity...." Transpower – Submitter number 19 Peter Hall Boffa Miskell Ltd Clause Number Subject Change sought by submitter Oppose Reason /support Retain as notified Planning maps 2.4.7 email peter.hall@boffamiskell.co.nz Decision I wish Council to make Support The disclaimers concerning the Remove all

in part lack of accuracy of the maps as to disclaimers the position of the network render the maps meaningless. s Regionally significant infrastruct ure - New Policy P7 Include new Policy P7 as follows: "Adverse effects including reverse-sensitivity effects on the National Grid are avoided". Oppose The word reverse is not required Remove the following words "including reverse-sensitivity effects on the National Grid are avoided". Powerco Ltd – Submitter number 23 Burtons Consultants, Georgina McPherson email gmcpherson@burtonconsultants.co.nz Clause Number Planning map Subject Change sought by submitter Oppose Reason /support Decision I wish Council to make Retain the illustration of Powerco's Support By having a disclaimer it sub-transmission network on in part Delete all disclaimers the Planning Maps and retain the diminishes the accuracy of the associated disclaimer in "Part plan. The plan is either correct C: Maps and Plans". or incorrect Federated Farmers – Submitter number 14 Sally Millar email smillar@fedfarm.org.nz **Clause Subject** Number Change sought by submitter Oppose N/A The rolling /support Reconsider the current rolling review District Plan review process.

process adopted by **MPDC** prevents a holistic assessment of the policy direction. Reason Decision I wish Council to make Support Same reasons as the submitter Implement a single The rolling review process plan review adopted by MPDC prevents a holistic assessment of the policy direction. NZ Historic Places Trust –Submitter number Carolyn McAlley email 17 cmcalley@historic.org.nz Claus Subject Change sought by submitter Oppose Reason eNum /support ber 2.4.6 Add the following bulletpoint to Policy P1: "The historic heritage of the District is not significantly adversely affected". Integrating landuse and infrastructure -Policies P1 - P6 Support Decision I wish Council to make The district historical heritage The change sought by is important to retain the submitter Address Kiwi Rail Level 1 Wellington Railway Station PO Box 593 Wellington 6140 Attention Deborah Hewett NZ Transport Agency **PO Box 973** Hamilton 3204 Attention Caitlin Kelly

Transpower c/- Boffa Miskell Ltd PO Box 91250 Auckland 1142 **Attention Peter Hall** Powerco c/- Burton Consultants PO Box 33817 Auckland 0740 Attention Georgina McPherson Federated Farmers PO Box 447 Waikato Mail Centre Hamilton Attention Sally Millar Historic Places Trust PO Box 13339 Tauranga 3141 Attention Carolyn McAlley I seek the following decision from Council. That: Nothing Selected ... if part, precise details:Of the original submission be: Nothing Selected I wish to present at the council planning hearing: yes I would be prepared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a similar submission: yes

Kiwirail -Submitter number 5

Deborah Hewett email - deborah.hewett@kiwirail.co.nz

Clause Number	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose /support	Reason	Decision I wish Council to make
3.5 2.3	Amenity	Amend Objective O6 as follows: "To ensure that subdivision and land-use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises infrastructure networks and avoids, remedies, or mitigates any potential reverse- sensitivity effects on those infrastructure networks"	Oppose	There is enough protection afforded by the original words	Disallow this request for an amendment
5X	New Rule Setback from a rail corridor	Insert a new development control requiring all buildings, balconies, decks, trees and shrubs to be set back at least 10m from the rail corridor boundary, fences and walls to be maintained, and storage and service areas screened; as set out in KiwiRail's Submission Point 17. Add a new rule 5.X, or a location in the Plan which will have district-wide applicability: 5.X Setbacks from a Rail Corridor Buildings, balconies and decks shall be setback at least 10 metres from the rail corridor boundary and; Trees and shrubs shall be setback at least 10 metres from the rail corridor boundary and shall not comprise nuisance or weed species and; Trees and shrubs shall be maintained such that they do not encroach into the setback and Fences or walls adjoining the rail corridor boundary shall be - setback or sited in a way that enables maintenance to be undertaken without requiring access to the rail corridor, and - maintained in a good state of repair fit for purpose, and free of graffiti and Storage and service areas and shall be screened so they are not visible from the rail corridor and Where buildings, other than residential, are developed introduce appropriate screening and other provisions for service areas and storage areas facing the rail corridor.	Oppose	This rule is over prescriptive and unnecessary.	Disallow this request for a rule change
15	Definitions - Sensitive activity	Amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as follows: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity any use of	Oppose	This definition defines the term Sensitivity activities beyond the normal interpretation that would be accepted by the general public	Disallow this amendment

		land and/or buildings which is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby pre-existing lawfully established land- use in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning; and for the purposes of this Plan, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling (including Primary Outdoor Amenity Areas), papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres, Places of Assembly, including churches, community facilities, restaurants and recreational facilities".			
15	Definitions – Buffer zone	Amend the definition of "Buffer Corridor" to read: means a corridor comprising the "red zone" and the "green zone" as follows: (i) A 16m wide corridor measured from the centreline of the HIN-KPO A transmission line as identified on the planning maps. (ii) A 32m wide corridor measured from the centreline of the HAM-WHU A and WHUWKO A transmission lines as identified on the planning maps. Or an area adjacent to a railway corridor as described in Rule 5.X.	Oppose	There is enough protection for the rail corridor	Disallow this amendment

NZ Transport Agency- Submitter Number 8

Caitlin Kelly email - Caitlin.Kelly@nzta.govt.nz

Clause	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose	Reason	Decision I wish
Number			/support		Council to make
2.4.6	Integrating land-use and infrastructure	Retain as notified but amend all references to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks" to "existing and planned infrastructure" and "existing and planned networks".	Oppose	The planned network may never happen. There are planned bypass's in the district that will never go ahead in future and certainly within the designated period they are required to.	Remove the word <i>"planned"</i> from the requested amendment
5.2.9	Internal noise limits railway lines and state highways	Amend Rule 5.2.9 as set out below: "5.2.9 Internal noise limits – railway lines and state highways Noise insulation: noise sensitive activities (i) Performance Standards (x) New and altered buildings shall be set back: □ 10m from a state highway where the posted speed is less than 70km/h; □ 20m from a state highway where the posted speed is 70km/h or more. The set back shall be measured from the edge of the nearest traffic lane. (a) New buildings or additions to existing buildings to be used for a noise sensitive activity located: (i) Within 40m of an operational railway line; (ii) Within 80m of a state highway with where the site's frontage has a posted speed limit of 70km/h or above; or (iii) On a front site or a corner site that directly adjoins a state highway where the posted speed limit is less than 70km/h and that has accompanying building platform that is within 40m of the state highway with a speed limit of less than 70km/h; Shall be designed, insulated, constructed, or screened by suitable barriers to ensure that noise received within any new bedroom, habitable space, or other space containing a noise sensitive activity, will not exceed the limits below:	Oppose	The request is not necessary	As requested by submitter No 7

existing building. (c) If strondows are required to be closed to achieve the noise limits above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause C4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: I A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause C4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)/when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air: changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space and 33 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
 (c) If windows are required to be closed to achieve the noise limits above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following; If A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall nor exceed 30 dB LAcq(30s)/when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. If Either: o Ari conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACF) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAcq(30s) in all other system shall not exceed 40dB LAcq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAcq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be commond. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be complying activities of a ratic setting space and 25 dB LAcq(30s) in all other high ari flow setting is provided, the system shall be compared to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be complying activities are space setting activities are space setting activity to the recent space setting activities are space setting activities are space setting activities are space setting activities are space space setting activities are space spa	existing building.	
to achieve the noise limits above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: 1 A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. 11 Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces. when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient aire pressure s	(c) If windows are required to be closed	
above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: Il A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. Il Either: o A irc conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall ofter Arg(30s) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than the astructure discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive a tristict-discretionary non-		
constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: I A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured I maway from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all oftfuser. The internal air pressure shall be no moor than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventil		
alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: I A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)/when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: I A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. 0 Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exected 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
New Zealand Building Code with a ventilation system to achieve the following: If A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o Air dold of the system shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
ventilation system to achieve the following: I A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o A hir conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
following: 0 A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. 0 Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure to be able to alter the ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
I A quarity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o Air conditioning shall be provided; the system shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. II Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be oc controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAcq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAcq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAcq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. 1 Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in all ofther habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be n more than 10 Pa above ambien air pressure shall be n more than 10 Pa above ambien air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
time as "meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. U Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. D Either: o A ir conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
not exceed 30 dB LAeq(30s)when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. U Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not excced 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
grille or diffuser. I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
I Either: o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
 o Air conditioning shall be provided; or: o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	0	
 o A high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
living space of the system shall not exceed 40dB LAcq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAcq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	living space of the system shall not	
other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	exceed 40dB LAeq(30s) in the principal	
when measured Im away from any grille or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	living space and 35 dB LAeq(30s) in all	
or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	other habitable spaces,	
or diffuser. The internal air pressure shall be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	when measured 1m away from any grille	
more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting		
more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting	The internal air pressure shall be no	
mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
mechanical ventilation. Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-	ambient air pressure due to the	
Where a high air flow setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
the high setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
setting (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
 (iii) Restricted-discretionary Non- complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non- 		
complying activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
above is a restricted-discretionary non-		
comprying activity		
	comprying activity	

Transpower – Submitter number 19 Peter Hall Boffa Miskell Ltd email <u>peter.hall@boffamiskell.co.nz</u>

Clause Number	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose /support	Reason	Decision I wish Council to make
Planning maps		Retain as notified	Support in part	The disclaimers concerning the lack of accuracy of the maps as to the position of the network render the maps meaningless.s	Remove all disclaimers
2.4.7	significant infrastruct	Include new Policy P7 as follows: "Adverse effects including reverse-sensitivity effects on the National Grid are avoided".	Oppose	The word reverse is not required	Remove the following words "including reverse-sensitivity effects on the National Grid are avoided".

Powerco Ltd - Submitter number 23

Burtons Consultants, Georgina McPherson email gmcpherson@burtonconsultants.co.nz

Clause Number	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose /support	Reason	Decision I wish Council to make
Planning map		Retain the illustration of Powerco's sub-transmission network on the Planning Maps and retain the associated disclaimer in "Part C: Maps and Plans".	Support in part	By having a disclaimer it diminishes the accuracy of the plan. The plan is either correct or incorrect	Delete all disclaimers

Federated Farmers – Submitter number 14

Sally Millar email smillar@fedfarm.org.nz

Clause Number	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose /support	Reason	Decision I wish Council to make
N/A	The rolling review process adopted by MPDC prevents a holistic assessment of the policy direction.	Reconsider the current rolling District Plan review process.	Support	Same reasons as the submitter The rolling review process adopted by MPDC prevents a holistic assessment of the policy direction.	Implement a single plan review

NZ Historic Places Trust –Submitter number 17 Carolyn McAlley email <u>cmcalley@historic.org.nz</u>

Claus eNum ber	Subject	Change sought by submitter	Oppose /support	Reason	Decision I wish Council to make
2.4.6	Integrating land- use and infrastructure - Policies P1 - P6	Add the following bullet- point to Policy P1: "The historic heritage of the District is not significantly adversely affected".	Support	The district historical heritage is important to retain	The change sought by the submitter

Address

Kiwi Rail Level 1 Wellington Railway Station PO Box 593 Wellington 6140 Attention Deborah Hewett NZ Transport Agency PO Box 973 Hamilton 3204 Attention Caitlin Kelly

Transpower c/- Boffa Miskell Ltd PO Box 91250 Auckland 1142 Attention Peter Hall

Powerco c/- Burton Consultants PO Box 33817 Auckland 0740 Attention Georgina McPherson

Federated Farmers PO Box 447 Waikato Mail Centre Hamilton Attention Sally Millar

Historic Places Trust PO Box 13339 Tauranga 3141 Attention Carolyn McAlley

Kelly Moulder

From:	Georgina McPherson [GMcPherson@burtonconsultants.co.nz]
Posted At:	Wednesday, 26 February 2014 11:21
Conversation:	Powerco Further submission - PC44
Posted To:	Submissions (Corporate Planning)
Subject:	TRIM: Powerco Further submission - PC44

Please find attached further submissions lodged on behalf of our client Powerco to submissions on Plan Change 44 Works & Network Utilities.

Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Kind regards

Georgina



Georgina McPherson | Senior Planner

PO Box 33-817 | Level 1, 2-8 Northcroft Street | Takapuna | Auckland 0740 DDI: 09 917 4301 | tel: 09 917 4300 | fax: 09 917 4311 Email: gmcpherson@burtonconsultants.co.nz

The information contained in this message (and any accompanying documents) is CONFIDENTIAL and may also be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, intended only for the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are notified that any use, copying, disclosure, retention or distribution by any means of the information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the writer immediately and destroy the original(s). There is no warranty that this email is error or virus free. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Burton Consultants.

1

To:

Matamata-Piako District Council PO Box 266 Te Aroha 3342

By E-mail: submissions@mpdc.govt.nz

Further Submissions by Powerco Limited on Submissions to Proposed Plan Change 44 (Works and Network Utilities) to the Matamata Piako District Plan

Date: 26 February 2014



FURTHER SUBMISSIONS BY POWERCO LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO DISTRICT PLAN

To: Matamata-Piako District Council PO Box 266 Te Aroha 3342

By E-Mail: submissions@mpdc.govt.nz

Name of further submitter:

Powerco Limited ("Powerco") Private Bag 2061 NEW PLYMOUTH 4342

- 1. Powerco's further submissions are as contained in the attached Table.
- 2. Powerco has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than that of the general public.
- 3. Powerco does wish to be heard in support of its further submissions.
- 4. Powerco could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.
- 5. If others make similar submissions Powerco may be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

Dated at AUCKLAND this 26th day of February 2014

Signature for and on behalf of Powerco Limited:

the

Address for service:

BURTON PLANNING CONSULTANTS LTD Level 1, 2-8 Northcroft Street PO Box 33-817 Takapuna AUCKLAND 0740

Attention: Georgina McPherson

Ph: (09) 917 4301 Fax: (09) 917 4311 Email: <u>gmcpherson@burtonconsultants.co.nz</u>



2 | P a g e

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF POWERCO LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO DISTRICT PLAN

Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
Waikato Regional Council (3)	3.7.2 Works and network utilities; 1.Community infrastructure; Policy P3	 Amend as follows: "To ensure that works and network utilities are considered having particular regard to: - The degree to which the environment has already been modified further modification would have adverse effects on the natural and physical environment". Further effects on the already modified environment needs to be considered as part of any assessment. 	Oppose	The wording notified by the council should be retained. It is important to take into account the nature of the existing environment and the degree to which it has already been modified when considering the appropriateness of a proposal for works and network utilities. This will avoid any implication that required mitigation or remediation must achieve a higher environmental standard than currently exists. In relation to new overhead electricity line, for example, a higher level of mitigation or remediation is likely to be required if located in a significant natural area in comparison to an industrial area, where the environment will already be heavily modified.
Kiwirail (5)	3.5.2 Amenity; 3. Nuisance effects; Objective O6	Amend Objective O6 as follows: "To ensure that subdivision and land- use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises infrastructure networks and avoids , remedies , or mitigates any potential reverse-sensitivity effects on those infrastructure networks" .	Support	Support proposed amendment for the reasons set out in the submission.



FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF POWERCO LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO DISTRICT PLAN

Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
Kiwirail (5)	15. Definitions	Amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as follows: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity any use of land and/or buildings which is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby pre-existing lawfully established landuse in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning; and for the purposes of this Plan, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling (including Primary Outdoor Amenity Areas), papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres, Places of Assembly, including churches, community facilities, restaurants and recreational facilities"	Oppose	The amendments sought by the submitter are opposed. The definition sought inappropriately and unnecessarily limits the scope of effects to noise. Sensitive activities may be sensitive to other nuisance effects such as odour, vibration, risk, visual etc, which can lead to reverse sensitivity effects. There should be scope to consider such effects.



FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF POWERCO LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO DISTRICT PLAN

Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
Macken Farm Ltd (6)	3.5 / 3.6 Activities adjacent to transmission/ subtransmission lines	Limiting permitted development in the "green zone" and within 20m of the centreline of a sub-transmission line, to development that complies with NZCEP 34:2001 is unnecessarily and unduly restrictive. The rule is contrary to the principles of the RMA and to sound resource management practice.	Oppose	NZECP34:2001 sets minimum safe separation distances for buildings and structures from electrical lines. Compliance with NZECP34:2001 is mandatory. However, this is not widely recognised. The rule acts as a trigger to raise awareness of the need to comply with NZECP34:2001.
Macken Farm Ltd (6)	6.1.1.11 Subdivision Activity Table - Clause 11 - Subdivision adjacent to transmission/ sub transmission lines	The imposition of restrictive development activity status on subdivision within the "green zone" is unnecessary and unduly restrictive. The rule is contrary to the principles of the RMA and to sound resource management practice. Accept plan change with amendments (details of amendments required, not stated).	Oppose	NZECP34:2001 sets minimum safe separation distances for buildings and structures from electrical lines. Compliance with NZECP34:2001 is mandatory. However, this is not widely recognised. The rule acts as a trigger to raise awareness of the need to comply with NZECP34:2001. All subdivisions will require a resource consent in any case. The restricted discretionary activity consent status for subdivision within 20m either side of the centreline of a sub-transmission line is not overly onerous.


Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
Mike Gribble (7) Maps and Plans – Planning maps		The sub-transmission line data shown on the Planning Maps is subject to a disclaimer that the information is indicative only. This is unacceptable and gives no certainty to parties. Remove the disclaimer regarding sub-transmission lines from the Planning Maps and require the company to supply accurate data.	Oppose	The lines information Powerco has supplied to the Council is accurate and up to date at the time it was provided. However, the planning maps should not be relied upon as the only source of verifying the location of electricity lines. The scale of the maps means the actual location of lines may vary slightly from where they appear on the planning maps. Further, there may be some lag between Powerco undertaking works to lines (e.g. removal, relocation, installation of new lines) and the new information being updated on the council's planning maps. The best way to confirm the exact location of electricity lines and any requirements when working in close proximity to lines will be to contact the network operator.
NZTA (8)	2.4.6 Integrating land- use and infrastructure	Retain as notified but amend all references to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks" to " <i>existing</i> and planned infrastructure" and " <i>existing</i> and planned networks".	Support	Support proposed amendment for the reasons set out in the submission.



Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
NZTA (8)	3.5.2 Amenity;3. Nuisance effects;Objective O6	Amend Objective O6 as follows: "To ensure that subdivision and land use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises existing and planned infrastructure networks".	Support	Support proposed amendment for the reasons set out in the submission.
New Zealand Historic Places Trust (17)	2.4.7 Regionally Significant Infrastructure; Objective O2 and Policy P2	 The wording must be changed to avoid dilution of the intent, and historic heritage should be included in the policy-framework. Amend Objective O2 as follows: "Operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of regionally significant infrastructure is enabled, efficiency is promoted, and the asset is protected to promote the economic, social, and cultural wellbeing of national, regional and local communities, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment to the greatest extent practicable". Amend Policy P2 as follows: "Require the development and upgrading of regionally significant infrastructure to avoid, remedy or 	Oppose	Due to their extensive linear nature, electricity networks are subject to a range of technical and locational constraints. In some cases a better environmental outcome may be achieved by allowing some localised effects to occur. For example, a requirement to divert a new electricity line around a significant natural area may result in a much greater length of line and more overall effects than allowing a short section of line to traverse that feature. Powerco seeks retention of the words 'to the extent practicable'.



Submission (Submitter Reference)			Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
		 mitigate adverse effects to the extent practicable on the: Health, safety, and wellbeing of people; Visual and amenity values; Natural and physical environment; Historic heritage and the intrinsic values of scheduled sites; and Existing sensitive activities". 		
Transpower (19)	15 Definitions "Green Zone", "Red Zone", "Buffer Corridor", "Sub- transmission line"	Amend definitions as follows and as detailed in this submission: "National Grid Yard " - similar to "Red Zone". "National Grid Subdivision Corridor " - similar to "Buffer Corridor". "Distribution Line " - same as "Sub-transmission Line". Delete "Transmission line buffer corridor". Make such consequential changes as necessary - including reference to "Red" and "Green" Zones.	Oppose in part	Powerco does not support replacing the term 'sub-transmission line' with 'distribution line.' Sub-transmission lines have a different role and function to both distribution and transmission lines (e.g. the National Grid). They typically operate at a higher voltage than distribution lines, but lower than transmission lines and play an important role in carrying electricity from the transmission network to the local distribution network. In the Matamata- Piako district Powerco operates a network of both sub-transmission and distribution lines. However, it is only the sub- transmission lines, which operate at a voltage of 33kV and above, that are shown on the planning maps and to which the rules in part 3.6 (activities adjacent to sub- transmission lines) and 6.1.1.11 (subdivision adjacent to sub-transmission



Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)		Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition	
				 lines) apply. The overall length of distribution lines in the Matamata Piako is extensive and Powerco does not seek to have these distribution lines shown on the planning maps or subject to rules in the plan. It is, therefore, important to retain the term 'sub-transmission' in the plan. It is noted that there is a separate definition for Transpower's National Grid, which is sufficiently different to the definition of 'sub- transmission lines' to avoid any risk of confusion. Powerco is neutral on the remainder of the definitions addressed in this submission point. 	
 (20) adjacent to sub- transmission lines - Permitted activities Permitted activities <i>"New buildings or additions t</i> <i>existing buildings (excluding</i> <i>artificial crop protection</i> <i>structures and crop suppo</i> <i>structures)</i> within 20m of th <i>centreline of a sub transmiss</i> <i>(identified on the Planning M</i> <i>have demonstrated complian</i> 		"New buildings or additions to existing buildings (excluding artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures) within 20m of the centreline of a sub transmission line (identified on the Planning Maps) that have demonstrated compliance with NZECP 34:2001 are a permitted	Oppose	The submission states that Horticulture NZ seeks to ensure that growers can establish crop protection structures and crop support structures consistent with NZECP34:2001. The rule already provides for this by permitting new buildings and additions to existing buildings that comply with NZECP34:2001 within 20m of the centreline of sub-transmission lines. The effect of the relief sought is to exempt such structures from the permitted activity status, with the potential implication that they would then become non-complying.	



(Submitter Reference) (add		Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition		
Environmental Futures Inc (21) 2.4.Sustainable management strategy; 7. Regionally significant infrastructure; Objective O3		The requirement that reverse- sensitivity effects on regionally significant infrastructure must be avoided, remedied, or mitigated, is too broad. Qualify the objective as follows, and amend Explanation accordingly: "Adverse effects including, where necessary, reverse-sensitivity effects on regionally significant infrastructure are avoided, remedied, or mitigated". Delete/amend AERs 7, 11, and 14 accordingly.	Oppose	Reverse sensitivity effects can result in significant constraints on the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of infrastructure, which can undermine its efficiency, effectiveness and sustainable management. It is not clear in what circumstances it will not be appropriate to protect a regionally significant resource from reverse sensitivity effects.		
Environmental Futures Inc (21)	3.7. Works and network utilities;2. Provision and benefits	The changes proposed are radical. The changes to Policy P5 are incomplete and the new wording reverses the intent of the original policy.	Oppose	The wording of Policy 5, as notified, is supported. Reverse sensitivity can result in significant constraints on the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of infrastructure, which can undermine its efficiency, effectiveness and sustainable management. The appropriate management of reverse sensitivity effects on works and network utilities is supported.		



Submission (Submitter Reference)	Provision	Relief Sought By Submitter (additions in bold; deletions in strikethrough)	Position of Further Submitter	Reason For Support / Opposition
Telecom NZ (22)	3.8 Activities adjacent to flood control and erosion protection assets.	The rule does not provide consideration of network utilities in flood hazard areas. That network utilities be provided for as permitted where WRC has given its authorization.	Support	Support for the reasons set out in the submission. Due to their linear nature, it will not always be possible for network utilities to completely avoid locating within flood hazard areas.



Kelly Moulder

From:	Sally Millar [SMillar@fedfarm.org.nz]
Posted At:	Wednesday, 26 February 2014 13:29
Conversation:	Further Submission PC 43 & 44
Posted To:	Submissions (Corporate Planning)
Subject:	TRIM: Further Submission PC 43 & 44

Please find attached Federated Farmers further submission in relation to Plan Change 43 & 44.

A copy will be sent to the original submitters as required

Regards Sally

SALLY MILLAR REGIONAL POLICY ADVISOR

Federated Farmers of New Zealand P.O. Box 447 Waikato Mail Centre Hamilton 3240

P 07 858 0827 F 07 838 2960 M 027 2781 620 E smillar@fedfarm.org.nz

www.fedfarm.org.nz

This email communication is confidential between the sender and the recipient. The intended recipient may not distribute it without the permission of the sender. If this email is received in error, it remains confidential and you may not copy, retain or distribute it in any manner. Please notify the sender immediately and erase all copies of the message and all attachments. Thank you.

1

FURTHER SUBMISSION



TELEPHONE 0800 327 646 | WEBSITE WWW FEDFARM.ORG.NZ

To: Matamata Piako District Council

From: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

- On the: Matamata Piako Proposed Plan Change 43 & 44 Transportation and Works and Network Utilities
- Date: 26 February 2014
- Contact: Sally Millar Regional Policy Advisor Federated Farmers of New Zealand P O Box 447 Hamilton 3240
 - P: 07 858 0827 E: smillar@fedfarm.org.nz

Please find following Federated Farmers of New Zealand Further Submission on the Matamata Piako Proposed Plan Change 43 and 44 – Transportation and Works and Network Utilities.

Federated Farmers has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. The grounds for saying that I come within this category are that:

• Federated Farmers of New Zealand is a representative body for all farmers. The subject matter of the appeal is a matter of interest for the farmers of the Matamata Piako District, and they constitute a sector of the public at large. Federated Farmers is in an appropriate position to represent that interest.

We wish to be heard in support of our submission

Sally Millar

Address for Service Federated Farmers New Zealand P O Box 447 Hamilton 3240 Date: 26 February 2014

Phone:07 858 0827 Fax: 07 838 2960 Email: smillar@fedfarm.org.nz

Appendix 2 - Page 44

Submitter Number	Name of Submitter	Provision/ Part of Plan	Support/ Oppose	Reason
3	Waikato Regional Council	3.7.2.1	Support	Support for the reasons given
5	KiwiRail	Definitions - Sensitive Activity	Oppose	The definition of sensitive activity applies not just to activities that are sensitive to noise. The term sensitive activities needs to also apply to those activities that are sensitive to effects of other utilities such as transmission lines
5	KiwiRail	3.4.2	Neither support or oppose	Federated Farmers considers that this submission is outside the scope of the Plan Change. As per our submission we consider the rolling review approach to the District Plan does not provide for integrated management of resources. Further most businesses undertake multiple activities that are interconnected or an activity that that can have a broad range of impacts that cannot be addressed in isolation. We therefore have some sympathy with the submitter seeking these changes and seek direction from Council on how it intends to address these and any other submissions deemed to be outside the scope of this Plan Change
6	Macken Farm Ltd	3.8	Support in part	Support that clarity is required for resource users in how the rules are applied and to which water bodies in the District
7	Mike Gribble	Definitions - Sensitive Activity	Support	Support the amendment proposed, the deleted text is unnecessary
8	New Zealand Transport Agency	2.4.6	Support in part	Support the amendment, subject that the term "planned infrastructure" is limited to as defined in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and any consequential amendments to the Plan to ensure consistency
8	New Zealand Transport Agency	5.2.9	Oppose	Oppose the proposal to make activities that do not meet the performance standard non complying

17	New Zealand Historic Places Trust	2.4.6	Oppose	Oppose the proposal as unnecessary. To include historic heritage which is a required matter to be considered under s6 of the RMA means that all other s6 matters should also be listed.
	New Zealand Historic Places Trust	2.4.7	Support in part	Support the proposed amendment to Objective 2, however Federated Farmers is opposed to the proposed amendment to Policy 2. While the objective should be to avoid remedy or mitigate it needs to be recognised there may be instances where this is not possible. The development of nationally significant infrastructure is an example.
21	Environmental Futures Inc	2.3.7	Oppose	Oppose the proposed amendments to clarify reverse sensitivity. The reverse sensitivity definition and application should be consistent with the Waikato Regional Policy Statement
21	Environmental Futures Inc	3.1.2	Support	Support for reasons given. Federated Farmers have been advised that we are not to address issues that are outside the scope of the Plan Change and we agree with the submitter that these proposed deletions have nothing to do with transportation or works and network utilities. It is likely there maybe people with an interest in these matters who have not made a submission on the basis that the Plan Change is restricted to Transport, Works and Network Utility matters
26	Ventus Energy (NZ) Ltd	3.1.2.1	Neither support or oppose	Federated Farmers considers that this submission is outside the scope of the Plan Change. As per our submission we consider the rolling review approach to the District Plan does not provide for integrated management of resources. Further most businesses undertake multiple activities that are interconnected or an activity that that can have a broad range of impacts that cannot be addressed in isolation. We therefore have some sympathy with the submitter seeking these changes and seek direction from Council on how it intends to address these and any other submissions deemed to be outside the scope of this Plan Change

Kelly Moulder

From:	Jo Young [Jo.Young@boffamiskell.co.nz]
Posted At:	Wednesday, 26 February 2014 13:57
Conversation:	Transpower - Further submissions on Plan Change 44
Posted To:	Submissions (Corporate Planning)
Subject:	TRIM: Transpower - Further submissions on Plan Change 44

Please find attached further submissions by Transpower in relation to Plan Change 44 – Works and Network Utilities. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any queries.

Kind regards



Jo Young | Planner

email: <u>io.young@boffamiskell.co.nz</u> | ddi: 64 9 357 44 15 | tel: 64 9 358 25 26 | fax: 64 9 359 53 00 PO BOX 91 250 | LEVEL 3, IBM CENTRE | 82 WYNDHAM STREET | AUCKLAND 1142 | NEW ZEALAND <u>www.boffamiskell.co.nz</u>

We've refreshed our website - learn more about how we can help, our consultants and projects >

1

Further Submission on Proposed District Plan Change



Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Further submitter's details:

Name: Cansi						
Contact person:	Jo	Young	, Boffa	Miskell	Limited	
Address for corres	sponder	ice: Po	Box 912	50 Auc	Kland 11	42

Phone:	09	357	4415	Fax:
E-mail:	10	young	Cbof	Famiskell.co.nz
				0

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to a submission on Plan Change 43 – Transportation and Plan Change 44 – Works and Network Utilities.

I am (tick one):

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest (please explain how you fall within this category):

□ A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest that the general public has (please explain how you fall within this category):

I support /oppose the submission of: see atached

Original Submission Number:

Name of Original Submitter:

Original Submitter's Address:

The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:

Office use only: TRIM #

NAR #

Container 13/6751

35 Kenrick Street - PO Box 266 - Te Aroha 3342 - www.mpdc.govt.nz Morrinsville & Te Aroha 07 884 0060 - Matamata 07 881 9050 - Fax 07 884 8865 Appendix 2 - Page 48



I sook the fol	owing decision from Council. That:
	The Part (please give precise details):
Of the origina	I submission be:
Allowed	Disallowed
_ Allowed	
I wish to pres	ent at the council planning hearing:
I wish to pres	ent at the council planning hearing:
I wish to pres	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a
I wish to pres Yes I would be pr similar submi	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a
I wish to pres	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a ssion: No
I wish to pres Yes I would be pr similar submi	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a ssion:
I wish to pres Yes I would be pr similar submi	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a ssion: No
I wish to pres Yes I would be pr similar submi	ent at the council planning hearing: No epared to present a joint case at the hearing with others making a ssion: No

- submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- A copy of your further submission must be sent to the original submitter within five working days of sending your further submission to Council.
- Please send the completed form to: Matamata-Piako District Council, 35 Kenrick Street, PO Box 266, Te Aroha 3342, or drop it off at any Council office before the closing date.
- Further submissions close at 5.00pm on Wednesday 26 February 2014.

FURTHER SUBMISSION BY TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES

To: Matamata Piako District Council

Email: <u>submissions@mpdc.govt.nz</u>

Name of Further Submitter:	Transpower New Zealand Limited PO Box 1021 Wellington 6140
Address for Service:	Jo Young Boffa Miskell Limited Level 3, IBM Centre PO Box 91250 Auckland 1142

I note that the following matters were not covered by the "Summary of Submissions" document prepared by Matamata Piako District Council. Transpower still seek to submit on these matters:

Relevant section	Part not covered in the Summary of Submissions
 <u>Section 1 General comments</u> (page 8 of Transpower submission) General Relief sought Full effect is given to the NPSET 2008. Recognise the NES-ET activities and ensure that there are no conflicts with provisions of the District Plan and NES-ET (s44A of the RMA). 	These two relief have been omitted from the summary of submissions
 <u>Section 7 : 3.5 Activities adjacent to transmission lines</u> (page 17 of Transpower submission) 1. Amend title of section 3.5 as follows: Section 3.5 Activities Adjacent to Transmission Line <u>The National</u> <u>Grid</u> 	This relief sought has been omitted from the summary of submissions.
 <u>Section 7 : 3.5 Activities adjacent to transmission lines</u> (page 21 of Transpower submission) 5. (iii) Matters for discretion (d) The extent to which the adverse effects from the line, and of the new activity <u>on the line</u> can be avoided, remedied, or mitigated; 	The phrase "on the line" has been omitted from the summary of submissions.

FURTHER SUBMISSION BY TRANSPOWER NEW ZEALAND LIMITED ON SUBMISSIONS TO THE MATAMATA PIAKO PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 44 – WORKS AND NETWORK UTILITIES

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
3	Waikato Regional Council	2.4.6 Policy 1 Add the following bullet point to Policy 1: <i>"Adverse effects on the natural and physical environment can be appropriately avoided, remedied and mitigated".</i> Make consequential amendments to the rules to ensure this bullet-point is fully implemented.	Support in Part	It is important that the benefits and constraints on the National Grid can be considered as part of any development of the National Grid. If a policy like this is introduced then it is important that the benefits and constraints can be recognised through the retention of the word 'appropriately' or similar in the policy.	Allow
3	Waikato Regional Council	2.4.8 Policy 1 Amend Policy P2, bullet-point 1 as follows: "their connections to the electricity transmission grid are enabled while managing: - Significant adverse effects on the environment".	Oppose in part	It is important that the benefits and constraints on the National Grid can be considered as part of any development of the National Grid. It is important that not all adverse effects must be avoided.	Disallow in part

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
5	KiwiRail	Amend Objective O4 as follows: "Subdivision of land in a manner that does not adversely affect the function or capacity of transportation networks within the district, including the avoidance, remediation, or mitigation of potential reverse- sensitivity effects". Alter the wording in the policy column associated with Objective O4, as follows: "See <u>Sustainable Management Strategy</u> Sections 2.4.6 (Sustainable Management Strategy, Integrating land-use and infrastructure) and 2.4.7 (Regionally significant infrastructure)."	Support	Transpower also considers that potential reverse sensitivity effects should be considered at the time of subdivision.	Allow
5	KiwiRail	Amend Objective O6 as follows: "To ensure that subdivision and land-use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises infrastructure networks <u>and avoids, remedies, or</u> <u>mitigates any potential reverse-</u> <u>sensitivity effects on those</u> infrastructure networks".	Support	Transpower also considers that potential reverse sensitivity effects on infrastructure should be considered.	Allow

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
5	KiwiRail	15 Definition – Sensitive ActivityAmend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as follows: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity any use of land and/or buildings which is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby pre-existing lawfully established landuse in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning; and for the purposes of this Plan, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling (including Primary Outdoor Amenity Areas), papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village,	Oppose	Noise is not the only issue can result in reverse sensitivity effects on the National Grid infrastructure.	Disallow or specifically define sensitive activities in relation to the National Grid.

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
		supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres, <u>Places of Assembly,</u> <u>including churches, community</u> <u>facilities, restaurants and recreational</u> facilities.			
6	Macken Farms Ltd	Jumines3.5/3.6 Activities adjacent to transmission / sub-transmission linesLimiting permitted development in the "green zone" and within 20m of the centreline of a sub-transmission line, to development that complies with NZCEP34:2001 is unnecessarily and unduly restrictive. The rule is contrary to the principles of the RMA and to sound resource management practice.	Support in part	Transpower's submission supports a more permissive approach than the notified version of Plan Change 44. Many land uses can be undertaken within the corridors without the need for consent. Transpower's submission seeks to clarify the nature of such activities, which include in the corridors cropping, grazing and some uninhabitable horticultural and farm buildings.	Allow and adopt the relief sought in Transpower's submission.
6	Macken Farms Ltd	The imposition of restrictive development activity status on subdivision within the "green zone" is unnecessary and unduly restrictive.	Oppose	Subdivision is often a precursor for future development. Transpower considers that subdivision is an appropriate time to ensure that future	Disallow and adopt the relief sought in Transpower's submission

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
		The rule is contrary to the principles of the RMA and to sound resource management practice.		development can be appropriately integrated with the existing National Grid lines. Transpower can and does support appropriately designed subdivision around the National Grid. Given that a resource consent is already required for subdivision, Transpower does not consider this to be overly onerous and is willing to work with developers and landowners on subdivision proposals.	
7	Mike Gribble	<u>15 Definitions – Sensitive Activity</u> Amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as below: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity , and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling, papakainga, visitor	Oppose in part	Transpower considers that that it can be beneficial to have sensitive activities in relation to the National Grid clearly defined.	Disallow and amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as noted in our submission section 2:

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
		accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres".			
8	New Zealand Transport Agency	2.4.6 Integrating landuse and infrastructure Retain as notified but amend all references to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks" to "existing <u>and planned</u> infrastructure" and "existing <u>and</u> <u>planned</u> networks".	Support	Large scale infrastructure can have significant planning and consenting timeframes. Transpower supports the recognition that land use should be integrated with planned and existing infrastructure.	Allow.
17	New Zealand Historic Places Trust	<u>2.4.7 Objective 2</u> Amend Objective O2 as follows: "Operation, maintenance, upgrading, and development of regionally significant infrastructure is enabled, efficiency is promoted, and the asset is protected to promote the economic, social, and cultural wellbeing of national,	Oppose in part	It is important that the benefits and constraints on the National Grid can be considered as part of any development of the National Grid. Transpower considers that it is important for the purposes of giving effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 that the	Disallow in part

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
		regional and local communities, while avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects on the environment to the greatest extent practicable". <u>2.4.7 Policy 2</u> Amend Policy P2 as follows: "Require the development and upgrading of regionally significant infrastructure to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects to the extent practicable on the: • Health, safety, and wellbeing of people; • Visual and amenity values; • Natural and physical environment; • <u>Historic heritage and</u> the intrinsic values of scheduled sites; and • Existing sensitive activities".		wording 'to the extent practicable' or similar is retained. The importance of the need to protect historic heritage is recognised and supported by Transpower.	
20	Horticulture NZ	<u>15 Definitions – "Buffer corridor", "red</u> zone" and "green zone"	Support	The Transpower submission supports the deletion of these definitions and the inclusion of a "National Grid Yard"	Allow and adopt the definitions of National Grid Yard" and a

Submission Number	Submitter	The specific part of the original submission to which my further submission relates is: <i>(list one provision per box)</i>	State whether you support or oppose this specific part of the original submission	State the reason for your support or opposition	What decision do you seek from Council on this submission (or part of a submission) I seek that the whole (or part [describe below]) of the submission be either: Allowed / Disallowed
		Delete definitions and replace with provision for permitted activities to a setback around towers consistent with NZECP34.		and a "National Grid Subdivision Corridor". These definitions support the proposed section 3.5 "Activities Adjacent to The national Grid" which is outlined in the Transpower submission. Transpower has recognises that some Horticultural structures can be permitted around support structures.	"National Grid Subdivision Corridor" as outlined in Transpower's submission
	Horticulture NZ	3.5.1(i) Activities within the Red Zone – Permitted activities Include as a permitted activity, and artificial crop protection structure or crop support structure set back at least 12m from the outer visible edge of a transmission tower support structure unless Transpower has given written approval in accordance with clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34 to a lesser setback,	Support	Transpower can support a permitted activity status for a horticulture structure where Transpower has provided written approval under clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34 to locate around the National Grid support structures.	Allow

Kelly Moulder

From: Posted At: Conversation:	Geeta Negi [Geeta.Negi@hortnz.co.nz] Wednesday, 26 February 2014 14:20 Further Submission on the Matamata Piako District Council: Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities
Posted To:	Submissions (Corporate Planning)
Subject:	TRIM: Further Submission on the Matamata Piako District Council: Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities

Greetings

On behalf of HortNZ, please find attached further submission on the Matamata Piako District Council: Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities.

Kindly confirm the receipt.

Thanks

Regards

Geeta Negi

Resource Management & Environment Coordinator

Horticulture New Zealand | Our Growth Industry

ddi + 64 4 470 5668 |Mobile 027 3334447| fax + 64 4 471 2861

Level 4, The Co-operative Bank House | 20 Ballance Street | PO Box 10232 | Wellington 6011

1

New Zealand

Further Submission on the Matamata Piako District Council: Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities

(Closing date: 26 February 2014 5pm)

To: Matamata Piako District Council P O Box 266 Te Aroha 3342

Email: submissionsWmpdc.govt.nz

Full Name of Further Submitter: Horticulture NZ

Full Postal Address: P O Box 10 232 Wellington

Attn: Chris Keenan

 Telephone Number:

 DDI:
 64 4 470 5669

 Mobile
 0274 668 0142

 Fax:
 64 4 471 2861

 Email:
 chris.keenan@hortnz.co.nz

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission

Horticulture New Zealand represents horticultural growers in the Matamata Piako District, so represents a relevant aspect of the public interest.

If others make a similar submission, I **would** be prepared to consider preparing a joint case with them at any hearing.

The particular further submissions are detailed in the attached table.

Chris Keenan Manager, Natural Resources and Environment

Date: 26 February 2014

Submitter	Sub No.	Support/ Oppose	Plan Provision	Reason	Decision sought
KiwiRail	5	Oppose	Definition Sensitive Activity	The definition of sensitivity activity needs to be address a range of environmental effects, not just noise.	Submission be disallowed
NZTA	8	Oppose	Definition built environment		
Piako Gliding Club	13	Support in part	Part E 3.1 and 3.2	Recognition of potential reverse sensitivity effects on the airfield is supported	Submission be allowed
Piako Gliding Club	13	Support in part	Part E 6.3	An objective to protect the airfield and recognition of potential reverse sensitivity effects on the airfield is supported	Submission be allowed
Federated Farmers	14	Support	9.1.2 (vi) a) ii)	The need for clarification is supported	Submission be allowed
Federated Farmers	14	Oppose	Definition built environment	The submitter seeks that the definition of built environment be amended to be give effect to the PWRPS. However there needs to be an assessment of the effect of amending the definition to include rural areas as it could change the current provisions in the Plan without a proper s32 analysis and Schedule 1 process. Once the PWRPS is operative council will need to consider a range of matters to give effect to the RPS.	Submission be disallowed
Transpower	19	Support	Definitions Green zone, Red Zone, Buffer corridor Sub transmission line	The changes sought provide greater clarity and are consistent with the approach taken in other council areas.	Submission be allowed
Transpower	19	Support	Definition Intensive Farming	The changes sought provide greater clarity and are consistent with the approach taken in other council areas.	Submission be allowed
Transpower	19	Support	Definition National Grid	The changes sought provide greater clarity and are consistent with the approach taken in other council areas.	Submission be allowed
Transpower	19	Support	Definition Sensitive activity	The changes sought provide greater clarity and are consistent with the approach taken in other council areas.	Submission be allowed

Submitter	Sub No.	Support/ Oppose	Plan Provision	Reason	Decision sought	
Transpower	power 19 Support in part 3.5 Activities adjacent to transmission lines However Horticult horticultural structures to the National Grid operator NZECP 34:2001. This is areas and the submission		The changes sought are consistent with the approach taken in other council areas. However Horticulture NZ seeks that there is a provision for horticultural structures to be a permitted activity where the written consent of the National Grid operator is given in accordance with clause 2.4.1 of NZECP 34:2001. This is consistent with changes taken in other council areas and the submission of Horticulture NZ and allows for the provisions in NZECP34:2001.	Submission be allowed with amendments.		
Powerco	23	Oppose	2.4.7 Regionally significant infrastructure Objective O2	The deletion of recognition of communities is not supported.	Submission be disallowed.	
Powerco 23 Oppose Definition Regionally significant infrastructure		significant	The submitter seeks that the definition of Regionally significant infrastructure be amended to be give effect to the PWRPS. However there needs to be an assessment of the effect of amending the definition as it could change the current provisions in the Plan without a proper s32 analysis and Schedule 1 process. Once the PWRPS is operative council will need to consider a range of matters to give effect to the RPS.	Submission be disallowed.		

13/6753

Further Submission on Proposed District Plan Change

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991



Further submitter's details:

Name: Te Auchon Business Association	4
Contact person: Shaun O'Neill - Chairman	_
Address for correspondence: PO Box 86	_
TE ALOLA	_
Phone:027 415 3574 Fax: 884 4180	_
E-mail: <u>hounedgeometrix.co.nz</u>	_

This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to a submission on Plan Change 43 – Transportation and Plan Change 44 – Works and Network Utilities.

I am (tick one):

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest (please explain how you fall within this category):

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest that the general public has (please explain how you fall within this category):

I support /oppose-the submission of:

Original Submission Number: <u>28</u> Name of Original Submitter: <u>Geo Metri x</u> Original Submitter's Address: <u>Po Box 152</u>, <u>Te Avoha</u>

The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:

RUKS	5.0	104
	8.6	- 1.

9.1.3

Office use only:

TRIM #

NAR #



35 Kenrick Street - PO Box 266 - Te Aroha 3342 - www.mpdc.govt.nz Morrinsville & Te Aroha 07 884 0060 - Matamata 07 881 9050 - Fax 07 884 8865

Appendix 2 - Page 64

Container 13/6751

Piecese Ser	e attached down	rent	
I seek the follow	ving decision from Council. T	hat.	
	The Part (please give precise of		
	and 9º1º3		
0.0.0.02	and dela		
Of the original	ubmission bo:		
Of the original s			
Allowed			
	nt at the council planning hea	ring:	
Ves Yes	No		
I would be prep	ared to present a joint case a	t the hearing with others m	aking a
similar submiss	ion:		
Ves Ves] No / ////		
		12.7.7	
Signed:	fall	Date: 250	02/14
	1		1.
Notes:	V		

- If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- A copy of your further submission must be sent to the original submitter within five working days of sending your further submission to Council.
- Please send the completed form to: Matamata-Piako District Council, 35 Kenrick Street, PO Box 266, Te Aroha 3342, or drop it off at any Council office before the closing date.
- Further submissions close at 5.00pm on Wednesday 26 February 2014.



.

TABA Te Aroha Business Association

Tuesday, 25 February 2013

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 43 - TRANSPORTATION

TE AROHA BUSINESS ASSOCIATION supports the submission made by GeoMetrix (MPDC Submission number 28) to oppose proposed rule to rule 5.9.4. We support GeoMetrix's position that business should be encouraged, not restricted. Many of our businesses generate in excess of 100 vehicles per day. We believe that imposition of this rule could hinder business.

TE AROHA BUSINESS ASSOCIATION supports the submission made by GeoMetrix (MPDC Submission number 28) with regard to rule 8.6.1.2. We should be encouraging the installation of cycleways and footpaths in our District, and capitalizing on the positive community initiatives. When living adjacent to a legal road, it is expected that the types of activities outlined in this rule will be carried out in the road reserve. Given that the Hauraki Rail Trail Cycleway has already provided positive benefits for our community, and that future development of the cycleway is proposed, activities of this type should be encouraged.

TE AROHA BUSINESS ASSOCIATION supports the submission made by GeoMetrix (MPDC Submission number 28) to oppose the proposed rule 9.1.3 with regard to on-site loading. There are a number of properties that could potentially not meet this criteria. With the alternative being the payment to Council for parking, this could stifle progress and deter start up business from coming to our towns.

Shaun O'Neill Chairman, Te Aroha Business Association 25 February 2013

S:\Te Aroha Business Assoc\Submissions\Plan Change 43 Further Submission in Support of GeoMetrix Submission.docx

RECEIVED JB. 1.33pm

2 3 FEB 2014

NATEMATA FLAND DIST VICT COUNCIL

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 43 AND 44 – TRANSPORTATION, WORKS AND NETWORK UTILTIES – MATAMATA PIAKO DISTRICT PLAN

TO:

A van Kuijk Matamata Piako District Council PO BOX 266 **TE AROHA 3342**

NAME OF SUBMITTER:

Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE:

PO Box 406 Matamata 3440

Attention: Jason Tidmarsh Ph: 07 881 9160 Fax: 07 881 9158 Email: jason@pearsonengineering.co.nz

Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd wishes to be heard in support of this further submission.

Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest that the general public has as landowners of multiple properties in the central area of Matamata township.

Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd further submission on Plan Change 43 and 44 – Matamata Piako District Plan

Original Submitter's Name	Original Submission Number	Plan Provision	Support /Oppose	Reasons
Progressive Enterprises Ltd	2	3.8.1 9.1.2 9.1.3 9.1.5	Support All	Allow the submissions. The submissions propose changes to the Plan that better provide for the access, loading and parking environment in the District.
NZ Transport Authority	8	9.1.2(vii)	Oppose	Do not allow the submission. The standards proposed are confusing enough without having to also refer to relevant road controlling authorities. Set the standard and then all parties understand the requirements.
NZ Transport Authority	8	9.1.4	Oppose	Do not allow the submission. Submission states that there should be no parking on strategic roads. This includes State highways which run through some of our town centres. Submitter proposes that all properties with access to a strategic road shall provide all parking and manoeuvring on site. A totally unfeasible suggestion for our town centres which require parking close to the destination. Do not allow the submission.
GeoMetrix	28	9.1.2	Support	Allow the submission. Agree that the provisions need to be reviewed. The provisions are hugely complex, the references are vague and the triggers are too low.

Jason Tidmarsh Director, Tidmarsh Holdings Ltd

The

cc:

Shaun O'Neill Director GeoMetrix. PO Box 152 Te Aroha 3342 Mike Foster Progressive Enterprises Ltd C/- Zomac Planning Solutions Ltd PO Box 103 Whangaparoa 0943 NZTA Attn; Andrew McKillop PO Box 973 Waikato Mail Centre Hamilton 3240 Submission No: FS-11

Doumant No

19 February 2014

NATIONAL OFFICE 50 Victoria Street Private Bag 6995 Wellington 6141 New Zealand T 64 4 894 5400 F 64 4 894 6100 www.nzta.govt.nz

Don McLeod Matamata Piako District Council PO BOX 266 Te Aroha 3342

WAKA KOTAHI

NZ TRANSPORT AGENCY

Dear Don

NZ Transport Agency Further Submission to the Proposed Plan Change 43 -Transportation and the Proposed Plan change 44 - Works and Network Utilities

The NZ Transport Agency (Transport Agency) would like to thank Matamata-Piako District Council for the opportunity to make a further submission on the Proposed Plan sections. We look forward to continuing to work with Matamata-Piako District Council.

The Transport Agency is generally supportive of the Proposed Plan Sections. This is detailed in our submission and further submission.

Please find our further submission attached.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Caitlin Kelly, Resource Planner in the Hamilton office on (07) 958 7949 or email at <u>Caitlin.Kelly@nzta.govt.nz</u>.

Yours sincerely

Katherine Davies Principal Planner



File Ref 11-004-025 Appendix 2 - Page 69

Submission/Poi nt & Name	Plan Change Provision	Summary of Submission	Summary of Submissions	Support or Oppose	Reasons for Support or Opposition
			Page Ref (
4 Minister of	0.1.2(!!!)(-)(!!!)			0	
4 Ministry of Education	9.1.2(iii)(a)(iii)	Insert an exclusion for educational facilities up to a maximum of 10 pupils from compliance with the access performance standard in Clause 9.1.2(iii)(a)(iii).	4	Oppose	The Transport Agency opposes the intent the potential to have an effect on the roa effect assessed to ensure that any effects
7 Mike Gribble	15 Definitions – Reverse Sensitivity	Amend the definition of "Sensitive activity" as below: "means a more recently established activity which is sensitive to the adverse environmental effects being generated by a pre-existing lawfully established activity, and in the context of state highways and railway lines includes any dwelling, papakainga, visitor accommodation, boarding house, retirement village, supported residential care, educational facilities, hospitals and healthcare services, and care centres"	8	Oppose	The Transport Agency opposes the subm impact on the clear application of the def
14 Federated Farmers	9.1.2(vi)(a)(i i)	Amend, to provide clarity as to what constitutes a nuisance effect.	14	Support in part	The Transport Agency supports the inten clarification may be beneficial. Loose ma the risk of vehicles skidding. Loose mate chips flying up and contributes to driver o
14 Federated Farmers	8.7 Stock Movements and Stock Crossings	Except for underpasses, remove the rest of the provision from the District Plan and manage stock movements and stock crossings through the Bylaw provisions.	14	Oppose	The Transport Agency opposes the decisi delete provisions in relation to stock cross It is acknowledged that some of the provi the Land Transport Bylaw 2008, however, the activity status for stock movement an matters over which discretion is reserved
15 Kaimai Properties and Matamata Metal Supplies	5.9.2	Amend the fist advice note under 5.9.2 as follows: "Advice Note: In assessing whether the performance outcomes are being achieved, the Council will require recommends evidence of consultation with NZTA be provided where applications have the potential to affect the integration of land use with the state highway network".	15	Oppose	The point of this submission is understoo supports Council, the Agency would like to to this matter as the outcomes has the po functioning of the state highway network
23 Powerco Ltd	3.7.2.1	Amend as follows: "The nature, timing, and sequencing of land use, development and subdivision must: - Prioritise the development of identified growth areas and areas with existing infrastructure capacity in order to achieve the efficient use of existing network utilities; - Be co-ordinated with	24	Support	The Transport Agency supports the propo better promote the integration of land us

tent of this submission. Any activity with roading network should have that potential ects are mitigated.
ubmission as the proposed change would definition and associated rules
ntent of the provision as further material tracked onto the road increases naterial also increases the likelihood of ver distraction.
ecision requested by the submission to crossing and stock movement along roads. provisions in Section 8.7 are duplicated in ever, Section 8.7 provides clarification on it and crossings and clearly sets out the rved.
stood. Whilst the Transport Agency ike to be a party to any discussions relating e potential to affect the safe and efficient ork.
roposed amendment as it is considered to d use and infrastructure.

Appendix 2 - Page 70

the funding, implementation, and operation of the associated			
requirements for works and network utilities; - Optimise the efficient and affordable provision of works and network utilities; - <u>Maintain and enhance the operational</u> <u>efficiency, effectiveness,</u> <u>viability, and safety of works and</u> <u>network utilities; - Protect</u> <u>investment in existing works and</u> <u>network utilities; - Ensure new</u> development does not occur until appropriate infrastructure services are in place or alternative infrastructure has been provided by the development; and: - Retain the ability to maintain and upgrade works and network <u>utilities".</u>			
 Section 9 criteria should only be addressed in the context of the effects of the boundary movement.	28	Support in part	While the Agency accepts that Council shou boundary movement, there may still be wide adjustment which require considered., As consideration.
Delete, or alternatively detail the specific circumstances when a ITA will be required.	29	Oppose	The Transport Agency opposes this submiss where an ITA is required reduces the ability to undertake a full assessment of the potent
Delete. Business and development should be encouraged - not restricted. The roads are designed to carry traffic. Other than for site access, roading should not restrict a site's development.			application. The Transport Agency opposes the deletion potential to have an effect wider than that w standard of accessway alone. The deletion o Controlling Authority's ability to ensure the roading network.
	viability, and safety of works and network utilities; - Protect investment in existing works and network utilities; - Ensure new development does not occur until appropriate infrastructure services are in place or alternative infrastructure has been provided by the development; and:- Retain the ability to maintain and upgrade works and network utilities"1.3(vi)Section 9 criteria should only be addressed in the context of the effects of the boundary movement1.1(x)Delete, or alternatively detail the specific circumstances when a ITA will be required1.1(x)Delete. Business and development should be encouraged - not restricted. The roads are designed to carry traffic. Other than for site access, roading should not restrict	viability, and safety of works and network utilities; - Protect investment in existing works and network utilities; - Ensure new development does not occur until appropriate infrastructure services are in place or alternative infrastructure has been provided by the development; and:- Retain the ability to maintain and upgrade works and network utilites"1.3(vi)Section 9 criteria should only be effects of the boundary movement.28.1.1(x)Delete, or alternatively detail the specific circumstances when a ITA will be required.29.1.1(x)Delete. Business and development should be encouraged - not restricted. The roads are designed to carry traffic. Other than for site access, roading should not restrict29	viability, and safety of works and network utilities; - Protect investment in existing works and network utilities; - Ensure new development does not occur until appropriate infrastructure services are in place or alternative infrastructure has been provided by the development; and: Retain the ability to maintain and upgrade works and network utilities":28Support in part.1.3(vi)Section 9 criteria should only be addressed in the context of the effects of the boundary movement.28Support in part.1.1(x)Delete, or alternatively detail the specific circumstances when a ITA will be required.29OpposeDelete. Business and development should be encouraged - not restricted. The roads are designed to carry traffic. Other than for site access, roading should not restrict29Oppose

ould only assess the effects of a vider implications of the boundary As drafted, 6.1.3(vi) enables this nission as limiting the circumstances ity of Council and the Transport Agency cential effects of a resource consent on of rule 5.9.4. Development has the it which can be addressed by the n of the rule would reduce the Road he safe and efficient function of the

Section	Provision	Support/ Oppose/ Amend	Reasons	Decision Sought
PART A: Issues, Ob	ectives and Polic			
General	이 같은 것이 아파지 않는 것이 같이 같이 같이 했다.	ti kate da ta		
Entirety of Plan Change 43 Transportation and 44 Works and Network Utilities		Support	The NZ Transport Agency generally supports the notified District Plan subject to the submission points below.	Retain the District Plan as notified subject to submission points below.
Entirety of Plan Change 43 Transportation and 44 Works and Network Utilities		Amend	The NZ Transport Agency is no longer known as the NZTA and would prefer that the plan referenced the NZTA as the 'NZ Transport Agency' or the 'Transport Agency'	Amend all references to the New Zealand Transport Agency to read the 'NZ Transport Agency' or 'the Transport Agency' Any other consequential amendments (including to the definitions section) are also supported.
1 Introduction	an a	en e		
1.2 Development suitability	1.2.1 Activity status criteria	Amend	The Transport Agency seeks certainty as to which activity status or criteria will be deemed appropriate in situations where there is a conflict of provisions. Requiring applicants to comply with the more onerous activity status will promote a precautionary approach within the plan and provide certainty to the Transport Agency.	Add a provision to the plan requiring that in the case of a conflict between provisions or activity status, the more onerous provisions and activity status will apply.
2. Sustainable Manag		C		Retain as notified
2.3 Significant resource management issues	2.3.6 Integrating land use and infrastructure and 2.3.7 Regionally	Support	The Transport Agency supports the issue statements which give greater recognition to the importance of integrating land use and infrastructure from a strategic	Retain as nouneu

New Zealand Transport Agency submission on Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Proposed Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities
	significant infrastructure networks		and investment perspective.	
2.4 Sustainable management strategy	2.4.6 Integrating land-use and infrastructure	Support with Amendment	The Transport Agency generally supports the objectives, policies, methods & explanation. However, P1, P4 and the explanation only refer to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks". Infrastructure has a long lead time, therefore it is important that existing and planned infrastructure is recognised in the District Plan to ensure that planned infrastructure investments are not compromised by ad hoc land development.	Retain as notified sustainable management strategies 2.4.6 subject to the exception of the following: Amend references to "existing infrastructure" and "existing networks" to "existing <u>and planned</u> infrastructure" or "existing <u>and planned</u> networks"
2.4 Sustainable management strategy	2.4.7 Regionally significant infrastructure	Support	The Transport Agency generally supports the objectives, policies, methods & explanation.	Retain as notified sustainable management strategy 2.4.7.
2.4 Sustainable management strategy	Anticipated environmental result No. 10	Support with Amendment	The Transport Agency generally supports anticipated environmental result 10. However efficient investment in "existing" infrastructure needs to be clarified to also include planned infrastructure that is not yet constructed but planned and invested in.	Amend reference to "existing infrastructure" to "existing <u>and planned</u> infrastructure"
3. Environment			Repair et the Antonia et antier the Artest Artest	
3.2 Subdivision	3.4.2 Subdivision 04	Support	The Agency supports this objective as it promotes the safe and efficient function of the transport network	Retain as notified

3.5 Amenity	3.5.2 Nuisance Effects 06	Support with amendment	The Transport Agency generally supports the objectives, policies, methods & explanation. However, O6 only refers to "infrastructure networks". Infrastructure has a long lead time, therefore it is important that existing and planned infrastructure is recognised in the District Plan to ensure that planned infrastructure investments are not compromised by ad hoc land development.	Amend 3.5.2 as follows: To ensure that subdivision and land use activities are located and sited in a manner that recognises <u>existing and planned</u> transport infrastructure networks.
PART B: RULES				
1 General provisions 1.1 Information requirements for resource consent applications	1.1.14 Written Report (x)	Amend	Whilst the requirement for an Integrated Transport Assessment is supported, it is recommended that the document "Integrated Transport Assessment Guidelines", November 2010, NZTA Research Report 422 is not directly referenced.	Add another appendix to the plan (provided in appendix 1 to this submission) and amend as follows:Applications that have the potential to result in adverse traffic effects shall beaccompanied by an ITA prepared in accordance with the "Integrated TransportAssessment Guidelines", November 2010, NZTA Research Report 422. the information and guidance found in Appendix 11The Transport Agency also supports any other consequential amendments required to give effect to the intent of this submission.
5 Performance Stand			n strange en de general de strange en	T
5.2.9 Noise	5.2.9 Internal	Amend	The Transport Agency generally	

standards for works	noise limits –	supports the management of	
and network utilities	railways lines	reverse sensitivity issues in the	
	and state	District Plan but request	
	highways	amendments to better address this	
	(entire section)	issue.	
		The title for 5.2.9 - 'Internal Noise	That the title to provisions within 5.2.9 is amended as
		Limits – railway lines and state	follows:
		highways' is misleading as it	
		suggests that the purpose of the provisions is to limit the amount of	Internal Noise Limits – railway lines and state higwhays
		sound which can be created	Noise insulation: noise sensitive activities
		internally However, the actual	
		intention of the provisions is to	
		protect dwellings, noise sensitive	
		activities and etc from external	
		noise. The Transport Agency	
		requests that this is amended to	
		better reflect the intended	
		purpose.	
		Adjacent to a state highway there	That the potential reverse sensitive effects within the
		are two areas of interest as	environmental buffer area are managed as follows, wit
		follows:	a standard setback performance standard that avoids
			future reverse sensitivity effects:
		1 Environmental Buffer Area	
		The area closest to the state	Environmental Buffer Area
		highway is the "environmental	A permitted activity performance standard that
		buffer area" and sensitive	requires new and altered buildings to be set back within
		activities both indoors and	• 10m of a state highway 70km/h or less
		outdoors within this area have	• 20m of a state highway 70km/h or more
		the potential to experience	Measured from the edge of the nearest traffic lane. Nor
		significant vibration and noise	compliance with this standard results in the activity

effects. This issue is easiest	becoming non-complying.
avoided by permitted activity	
performance setbacks	Non- compliance with these standards results in non-
determined by the level of traffic	complying activity status.
on the state highway.	
This would ensure road vibration	Please note that the Transport Agency also requests
and noise inside the buildings is	amendments to 5.2.9 to better manage reverse
within reasonable criteria and	sensitivity effects within the wider road noise effects
will avoid adverse effect such	area.
sleep disturbance and ensure	
that appropriate outdoor	
amenity can be provided. Non	
compliance with these standards	
would result in the activity	
becoming non-complying as this	
would result in the building not	
meeting world health	
organisation standards.	
2 Road Noise Effects Area	
The area up to 80m from the	
road edge has the potential for	
reverse sensitivity effects which	
may be managed by ensuring	
noise sensitive activities provide	
appropriate insulation and	
ventilation to ensure that	
activities such as sleep are not	
interrupted.	
The notified version of Section	
5.2.9 only attempts to address the	
issue of the wider road noise	

5.2.9 Noise standards for works and network utilities	5.2.9(i)(a)(ii) and 5.2.9(i)(a)(iii) Internal noise limits – railways lines and state highways	Amend	effects area requiring buildings to be built to provide mitigation to ensure an internal noise limit of 40dB LAeq(24) with ventilation requirements. The Transport Agency requests that additional provisions are inserted to manage the increased effects within the environmental buffer area and amendments are made to 5.2.9 to better manage effects within the wider road noise effects area. The Transport Agency request that 5.2.9(i)(a)(ii) & (iii) is clarified to ensure that any building to be used for sensitive activities within the road noise effects area is built to an appropriate standard to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects.	Amend as follows: 5.2.8(i)(a)(ii) Within 80m of a state highway with a where the site's frontage has a posted speed limit of 70km/h or above; and/or 5.2.8(i)(a)(iii) On a front site or a corner site that directly adjoins a state highway where the posted speed limit is less than 70km/h and that has a complying building platform that is within 40m of the state highway with a speed limit of less than 70km/hr;
5.2.9 Noise standards for works and network utilities	5.2.9(b) Internal noise limits – railways lines and state highways	Amend	The Transport Agency requests that 5.2.9(i)(b) is amended to clarify that the distance of the reverse sensitivity provisions will be measured from is the edge of	Amend as follows: 5.2.9(i)(b) The distances referred to above are measured from the: • Edge of a railway track;

			the nearest traffic lane. The edge of the seal may be significantly wider and does not reflect an accurate measurement from where the noise is coming from.	• Edge of seal <u>nearest traffic lane</u> of the state highway;
5.2.9 Noise standards for works and network utilities	5.2.9(c) Internal noise limits – railways lines and state highways	Amend	The Transport Agency seeks amendments to the proposed ventilation standard. The proposed Building Code standard provides some air to inhabitants however, it does not provide any thermal comfort. Therefore occupants would still need to open a window which negates the necessary sound insulation.	 Amend as follows: 5.2.9(i)(c) If windows are required to be closed to achieve the noise limits above, the building shall be designed and constructed to provide an alternative means of ventilation in accordance with the Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Codewith a ventilation system to achieve the following: A quantity of air shall be provided to achieve the requirements of Clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code. At the same time as meeting this requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 30 dB L_{Aea(30s)} when measured 1 m away from any grille or diffuser. Either (i) air conditioning shall be provided, or (ii) a high air flow rate setting shall provide at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the principal living space and at least 5 ACH in all other habitable spaces At the same time as meeting the above requirement, the sound of the system shall not exceed 40 dB L_{Aea(30s)} in the principal living space and 35 dB L_{Aea(30s)} in all other habitable spaces, when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser.

5.2.9 Noise standards for works and network utilities	5.2.9(iii) Internal noise limits – railways lines and state highways	Amend	The Transport Agency requests that any new building or addition to an existing building to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards is a non-complying activity. This is because failure to meet these standards will result in buildings housing noise sensitive activities failing to meet world health organisation standards. Effects of such failure include sleep disturbance, interferences with communication, general annoyance and general deterioration in performance ¹ . Such effects of non-compliance are difficult to mitigate, other than by the insulation standards referred to in these provisions. Therefore uninsulated noise sensitive activities within 'environmental buffer area' should not be enabled.	 <u>ventilation.</u> <u>Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the</u> system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal stages up to the high setting. Amend as follows: 5.2.9(iiii) Restricted discretionary Non-complying activity activities A new building or addition to an existing building, to be used for a noise sensitive activity not meeting the performance standards in 5.2.9(i) above is a restricted discretionary <u>non-</u> complying activity. Or similar amendments to achieve the same outcome. The Agency also supports any consequential amendments required.
---	--	-------	---	--

¹ Bluhm G, Nordling E, Berglind N. Road traffic noise and annoyance-an increasing environmental health problem. Noise Health 2004;6:43-9

New Zealand Transport Agency submission on Proposed Plan Change 43 Transportation and Proposed Plan Change 44 Works and Network Utilities

5.9.2 Performance outcomes	5.9.2(i) Integrating land use and infrastructure	Support with amendments	The Transport Agency supports section 5.9.2(i) including the advice notes, but requests the following amendments:	Amend as follows:
			That (g) is amended to indicate that there is a guideline (the roading hierarchy) as to which roads will best serve the different types of development.	5.9.2(i)(g) That the development will be <u>connected</u> by existing and or new roads- <u>identified in the roading</u> <u>hierarchy as appropriate for serving</u> designed for the purpose of carrying the type and volume of traffic that will be generated
			That (h) is amended to reflect the importance of existing and planned infrastructure. To ensure that planned infrastructure investments are not compromised by ad hoc land development.	5.9.2(i)(h) That the development will lead to the investment in existing <u>and planned</u> infrastructure networks being used efficiently
5.9.3 Non- compliance with performance standards and outcomes	5.9.3(iv) Transportation	Support	The Transport Agency supports section 5.9.3 and the restricted discretionary activity status for activities that fail to meet the performance standards.	Retain 5.9.3(iv) as notified.
8 Works and network	utilities	l Referencia		
8.6 Transport Network	8.6	Support	The Transport Agency supports section 8.6, in particular the link to assessing against the performance standards in section 9 and 5.9.2(i).	Retain 8.6 as notified
8.10 Matters of discretionary/discret ionary assessment criteria/guidance for non-complying	8.10 Matters of discretionary	Support with amendment	The Transport Agency seeks an additional assessment criteria that assesses the effect of the potential "miscellaneous" works and network utilities against the,	Insert additional assessment criteria into 8.10 as follows: <u>Adverse effects on the safety, efficiency and</u> <u>effectiveness of the state highway network.</u>

activities applicable to section 9 Transportation			safety, efficiency and effectiveness of the roading network. The concern is that additional traffic movements generated from activities such as solid waste and recycling transfer stations also need to be carefully assessed and managed.	
9.1 Roading	9.1.1 Roading hierarchy	Support with amendment	The Transport Agency supports a regionally consistent roading hierarchy. To provide plan users with greater understanding of the roading hierarchy when considering development and to promote performance outcome 5.9.2(i)(g), it is recommended that the function of the different types of road is defined, as per the one network roading strategy. It is therefore requested that the function of roads in each tier of the roading hierarchy is explained.	Retain as a regionally consistent roading hierarchy, and; Insert explanations of the function of each of the roading hierarchy tiers either within this section or within the definitions section of the Proposed Plan.
9.1.2 Access	9.1.2 Access (entire section)	Support	The Transport Agency supports a collaborative approach to managing the transport network, particularly on significant roads	Retain 9.1.2 as notified (with the following sub sequential amendments)

			with a low speed environment of 50km/hr or less. The Transport Agency also supports restricted discretionary activity status for existing vehicle crossings (in a speed environment over 50km/h) that change in character, scale, or intensity as this allows effects to be carefully assessed and managed.	
9.1.2 Access	9.1.2(iii)(a)(ii) Access to significant roads and arterial roads	Supoprt with amendments	Whilst the Transport Agency supports the intention of this section, it is noted that there is only reference to the Council development manual with no explicit reference to the Transport Agency. It is acknowledged that there is an advice note within the Development Manual requiring consultation with the Transport Agency as to the siting and detail. However, this provision needs to be widened to ensure the appropriate road controlling authority can manage the safety of access onto the state highway network.	Amend as follows: 9.1.2(iii) The vehicle crossing shall be designed, formed and constructed in accordance with the Development Manual; <u>or if accessing a state highway network, to the</u> <u>standard required by the NZ Transport Agency in speed</u> <u>environments of 70km/h and over</u>
9.1.2 Access	9.1.2(viii)(a)(ii)G eneral Access	Support with amendments	The Transport Agency supports the requirement for all accesses to be	Amend 9.1.2(viii)(a)(ii) as follows:

	Standards (all roads)		of an appropriate standard for safety of use, but notes that not all safe access way designs will need to conform to the standards specified in this provision. It is recommended that a provision is added to allow the relevant Road Controlling Authority's discretion as to the appropriate accessway design.	The primary access to a lot shall be designed and constructed in accordance with the relevant standards being DG307, DG308, or Diagrams C, D, or E in the MPDC Development Manual 2010, <u>or to the relevant</u> <u>Road Controlling Authority's satisfaction</u>
9.1.4 Parking	9.1.4 On-site parking	Amend	It is important to ensure that there is no provision for parking on the state highway network. Parking on significant roads (with a function of linking different regions, economic areas or tourist spots) has the potential to impede traffic flow which affects road function and safety.	Insert an additional provision to 9.1.4 as follows: <u>All properties with legal access to a strategic road shall</u> <u>provide all parking and manoeuvring on site</u> . <u>Or</u> cross-reference to provision 9.1.2(iii)(iv) The Transport Agency supports all consequential amendments required.
15. Definitions 15 Definitions	"Built	Amend	Amend the definition of built	Amend as follows:
	Environment"		environment to give effect to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement (RPS)	"Built environment" means buildings, physical infrastructure and other structures in urban <u>as well as</u> <u>rural areas, and their relationships to natural resources,</u> <u>land use and people areas.</u>

Appendix 1

Information Requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments

An Integrated Transport Assessment (ITA) is a comprehensive review of all the potential transport impacts of a development proposal. Its purpose is to identify appropriate transport information that is required to assist in better aligning land use and multi-modal transport at both the local and regional level and to provide information on how a proposed development is located, designed and managed to promote access by a choice of modes and to identify any mitigation required to address the adverse impacts of a proposal on the transport system, including the state highway network.

<u>Council staff can give guidance as to the level of detail that will be commensurate with the activity proposed. ITAs may vary in terms of the level of detail and breadth of assessment required. For developments where there is potential for adverse effects that may be more than minor, the ITA will need to be prepared by a suitably gualified person. Below is some guidance as to the content required for ITAs.</u>

- Outline of relevant development parameters (location, size, hours of operation, configuration of access and vehicle circulation on-site).
- Baseline conditions of the road network (traffic/pedestrian movements, intersection and accessway capacities, provision for public transport, walking & cycling).
- Future conditions of road network (analysis of likely traffic generation, impact of proposed development, provision for public transport, walking & cycling).
- <u>Cumulative Effects (Analysis of cumulative effects in considering permitted and consented activities in the existing environment).</u>
- Mitigation (Road network improvement measures, public transport improvement measures, walking/cycling facilities/parking management/Travel Plan.
- Safety Audit of access proposals and any related changes to the network through mitigation
- Construction effects

<u>A more comprehensive guide on ITA content requirements is available from in the New Zealand Transport Agency research report 422 - Integrated transport assessment guidelines November 2012. That document is available at http://www.nzta.govt.nz/resources/research/reports/422/.</u>