Attachment A- Summary of Submissions (by Submitter) and Hearing Panel Decisions on Submissions.

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
1	Tu Clarke	SA	1.1 Include Marae and whenua connected with the marae to be included as MPZ-PREC2 (instead of MPZ-PREC1).	Accept with amendments	Reject
2	Rachel and Norm	0	2.1 Proposed rules should be applied to all parties in the Rural Zone	Decline	Reject
	Salisbury		2.2 Potential impacts on property values		Reject
			2.3 Concerns around safety and traffic on Douglas Road		Reject
			2.4 Limited Council services and increased strain on the services that do exist		Reject
			2.5 Lower parts of Douglas Road are located within a flood zone and not suitable for development		Accept in part
			2.6 Concerns around existing housing standards and crime due to increased population		Reject
			2.7 Dog control and stock safety		Reject
FS1	Charlotte Cross	FS on S2 Oppose	 Decision sought from Council is "allowed in whole" Raises concerns about a range of issues including relating to amenity, traffic and parking, rubbish, maintenance, fencing, rural aspect and lifestyle 	Accept	Reject
3	Te Rauna Cawker	SA	3.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahi, Papakāinga Rua and District Wide provisions	Accept	Accept in part
			3.2 Supports Urupā on ancestral land		Accept in part
4	Ngāti Hauā Iwi Trust	SA	4.1 Request that Precinct 1 and 2 are adopted as proposed	Accept with amendments	Accept in part

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
			4.2 Support the proposed housing density and enabling of community facilities, education facilities, urupā, relocatable buildings and accessory buildings		Accept in part
			4.3 Larger land blocks are not fairly represented with a limitation of 10 homes. Request that the wording is changed to make it clear that developments above ten homes may be possible, but will require resource consent		Reject
			4.4 Extend the MPZ provisions to the District Wide provisions where there are ancestral connections and legal mechanisms for perpetuity of Māori ownership (Key Issue 1)		Reject
			4.5 Supportive of RM reforms to allow headroom for iwi to access water in a way that supports commercial water uses for Māori adjacent to their papakāinga.		Reject
5	Te Puawaitanga	SA	5.1 Density limits for MPZ PREC 1 to allow for one kāinga per 2500m²	Accept with amendments	Reject
	o Ngāti Hinerangi		5.2 Site coverage to be 35% where sites are under 1ha and 10% where sites are over 1ha		Reject
			5.3 Extend MPZ to cover more Māori Freehold Land		Reject
			5.4 10m side yards		Reject
			5. 5 Te Ohaki Marae Planning Map to include urupā block		Reject
			5.6 Policy Papakāinga — P2 b) to include hapu and/or iwi ownership in perpetuity.		Accept in part
			5.7 Remove requirement 1.4.30 Providing information on management structures for the papakāinga.		Accept in part
			• 5.8 Amend Provision 6.1.1 1 to enable Kāinga per 2,500m².		Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			5.9 Amend the activity status for Discretionary activity for communal living arrangement to be a restricted discretionary activity.		Reject
			5.10 Amend the yard provisions for side yards as they relate to papakāinga and a standard over and above what is anticipated in the rural zone.		Reject
			• 5.11 Amend 4.4.1 2) building coverage rules to be site coverage where sites are under 1ha, 10% where sites are over 1ha.		Reject
			5.12 Amend 5.9.1 2 wording to include or where available wireless provisions can be utilized.		Reject
			5.13 Amend Subdivision rules for Papakāinga from Discretionary to Restricted Discretionary		Reject
FS2	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 5 in part	Support Te Puawaitanga o Ngati Hinerangi requested amendments to the MPZs including side yard provisions	Accept with amendments	Reject
6	Te Tumu	SA	6.1 Supports District Wide Provision 6.1.1	Accept with	Accept in part
	Paeroa – Office of the Māori Trustee		6.2 Supportive of Māori Purpose Zone's objectives (O1 – O3), policies (P1 - P8) and rules (PREC1(1) –(5); PREC2(1) – (4))	amendments	Accept in part
			 6.3 Supportive of papakāinga objectives (O1 – O3) and policies (P1 – P8) 		Accept in part
			6.4 Amendment of 'Papakāinga' definition to provide for 'māori landowners'. See submission for proposed Papakāinga definition		Accept in part
7	Samuel and Leah O'Connor	U	7.1 Concerns around potential negative consequences as a result of re-zoning, such as:	U	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			includes additional facilities (e.g. Schools, short-term accommodation) o Property value changes and appeal to potential buyers		
			7.2 Concerns over a possibility of funding to aid in rapid development		Reject
8	Charlie and June Paki Whanau Trust	O SA	8.1 Concerns regarding the proposal process as the beneficiary were not contacted or advised of the proposal, therefore not given an opportunity to ask questions	Accept with amendments	Reject
9	Shannon Clarke	SA	9.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides a chance to return to ancestral land for current and future generations	Accept with amendments	Accept in part
			9.2 Request that General Land owned by Māori be treated the same as Papakāinga Tahi		Reject
10	Tony Ashworth	SA	10.1 To keep houses and vegetation away from the runway edge to avoid the airport boundary	Accept with amendments	Reject
			10.2 Limiting who is able to make a noise complaint caused by recreational aerodrome traffic		Reject
			10.3 Allow for building hangars and a boundary fence along the runway		Reject
11 R	Robyn Roa	SA	11.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides a chance to return to ancestral land for current and future generations	Accept	Accept in part
			11.2 Request that General Land owned by Māori be treated the same as Papakāinga Tahi (Key Issue 1)		Reject
			11.3 Supports both precincts as it will enable kaumātua and kuia the opportunity to live near our		Accept in part

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
			Marae where they are often needed to support marae		
			kaupapa, or give younger families an opportunity to		
			put a home on the whenua		
			11.4 Expresses the following viewpoint: 'we do not		Accept in part
			own the whenua, we belong to the whenua'		
			11.5 Supports the opportunities to return home		Accept in part
12	Georgina Tini	S	12.1 Supportive of the plan change as it provides	Accept	Accept in part
	Dicken		opportunity to live on whanau Māori land		
			12.2 Mokopuna to be supported by her whanau		Accept in part
13	Lea	SA	13.1 Requested the Māori Purpose Zone provisions be	Accept	Reject
	Thompson		applied to district wide provisions		
			13.2 Supports papakāinga lifestyle – opportunities to		Accept in part
			revitalise te reo and Maaoritanga		
			13.3 Supportive of allowing Urupā onsite as it		Accept in part
			strengthens ancestral and generational connections		
			13.4 Expresses disappointment at needing to gain		Reject
			permission to establish kāinga on Māori Land		
			 Identifies that homes in urban areas are 		
			closer that the provisions allow for rural zones		
14	Hariata Jaime	S	14.1 Supports the proposed provisions of the Māori	Accept	Accept in part
	Anderson		Purpose Zone		
			14.2 Identifies this as an opportunity to establish,		Accept in part
			sustain and preserve historical heritage, culture and		
			cultural assets while realising ancestral aspirations		
			14.3 Expresses aspirations to establish whare and		Accept in part
			business opportunities to serve Whanau		
15	Tokaanu	S	15.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Accept in part
	Thompson				

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
16	Frances Herawana Tupaea	S	16.1 Supports Papakāinga as a safe environment to raise ngā mokopuna	Accept	Accept in part
17	Grant and Annette Cranfield	0	17.1 Expresses concerns around health and safety regarding: Increased road users Constant turning and slowing of vehicles Traffic and congestion Complex intersections which could be hazardous for drivers and pedestrians Railway is hazardous – long trucks with trailers need to be able to turn safely without obstacles preventing them from crossing the railway line Loss of parking spaces at the marae resulting in tendency to park along the road Refuse bins bags left at the gate in high volumes will be an obstruction Bright lights from the marae could be distracting for motorists	Decline	Reject
		17.2 Existing issues around:		Reject	
		17.4 Concerns expressed around who is able to access the sewage lines		Reject	

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
			17.5 Expressed concerns regarding significant noise, sleep disturbance, stress and annoyance		Reject
			17.6 Expresses traffic and safety concerns around the right of way for trains, hazardous material trucks, elderly driving along the road in mobility scooters, turning vehicles holding up on-coming traffic		Reject
			17.7 Concerns around the potential for increased developments to increase roadside parking		Reject
			17.8 Expresses concerns around the loss of rural character		Reject
			17.9 Concerns around existing maintenance issues related to fence posts and possible incorrect rubbish disposal		Reject
18	Te Ao Marama Maaka	S	18.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Accept in part
19	McRae	ne O	19.1 Concerns that the proposed housing density and buildings might diminish the rural aspect	Decline	Reject
			19.2 Concerns around diminishing the quality of rural lifestyle		Reject
20	Tahauariki Tauwhiti Thompson	SA	20.1 Would like to see General Land Owned by Māori being treated the same as Papakāinga Tahi	Accept	Reject
			20.2 Identifies this plan change as an opportunity to return to ancestral land to build a home for children, grandchildren and future generations in a safe environment that values te reo and traditional/ ancestral values		Accept in part
			 20.3 Papakāinga will allow whanau to live collectively as their ancestors did 		Accept in part

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
21	Desmond Ewing	S	 20.4 Supports the opportunity for greater involvement in social, educational and economic aspects of hapū, iwi and marae 21.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahi 	Accept	Accept in part Accept in part
22	Leigh Webber	S	22.1 Supports the plan change	Accept and Accept with amendments	Accept in part
23	Pamela A Webber	S	23.1 Supports the plan change	Accept and Accept with amendments	Accept in part
24	Richard and Ariana Pirrit	0	 24.1 Expresses concerns regarding Papakāinga Tahi due to an increase in housing density in comparison to the rural zone 24.2 Expresses concerns regarding Papakāinga Rua – related to Rukumoana Road, in the following ways: Dairy farming business is directly adjoining Rukumoana Marae and Rukumoana Avenue Increased housing density and population increase will cause noise pollution, interfere with the rural characters and increased traffic Existing issues include crime, unemployment, drug abuse, gang affiliation, incorrect disposal of rubbish causing issues with rodents and farming stock being attached by stray dogs from Rukumoana Ave Existing fears around safety with a need for increased security on properties Currently considered a 'high risk' area by insurance 	Decline	Reject

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
			 Existing cabins on Rukumoana marae do not have toilets, running water and are currently disposing of rubbish incorrectly 		
25	John and Irene Harris	SA	, ,	Accept with amendments	Reject Accept in part Accept in part Reject
			Residential zones, as well as new Papakāinga development in residential or rural-residential zones should be noncomplying, instead of discretionary		

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
26	Waikato Regional Council	SA O	26.1 Request amendments to MPZ-O1 and Papakāinga-O1 to include "other natural and physical resources"	Accept with amendments	Accept in part
			26.2 Suggest the inclusion of additional objectives and policies relating to the use of water, energy and climate resilience		Reject
			26.3 WRC identifies two MPZ Precinct areas within WRC drainage schemes and therefore appropriate measures and controls are needed to manage flood risk		Accept in part
			26.4 Specific concerns regarding the location of Waiti Marae (within the MPZ Precinct 1) and its vulnerability to flooding		Accept
27	Bette Blance	S	27.1 Supports the proposed plan change, particularly the purpose, and potential to improve the lives of local iwi, kaumātua and kuia through support from younger iwi members	Accept	Accept in part
28	Thomas Bougher	SA	28.1 Supports the plan change, submission amendments points include:	Accept with amendments	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			 Roading and Access should be well-built and well maintained for safety reasons such as legal services (ambulance, fire etc) Houses need to be numbered properly. Road names established if there are more than 5 houses on a right of way Measures put in place to ensure Council Indemnity Consideration of rates due to increased services 28.2 Minimum 1 vehicle garage per dwelling for storage/ workshop Require all homes to be new builds 		Reject
29	Margaret Osbourne	0	29.1 Requests the proposed zoning provisions should be made available to all	Decline	Reject
30	Te Mangeo Tamehana Tarapipipi	SA	30.1 Suggests an amendment to density provisions as the current density requirements will exclude people with smaller blocks	Accept with amendments	Reject
31	Virginia McMillan	SA	 31.1 Suggests consulting with iwi on their aspirations to reassess the maximum number of homes Requests an increase in the total number of homes 	Accept with amendments	Reject
32	Kali Matauwhati	SA	 32.1 Expresses strong whakapapa to Kai-a-te-mata and Rukumoana marae 32.2 There is willingness from whanau living in other regions of the Motu to return to ancestral land, to look after other whanau, the land, marae and rivers 	Accept with amendments	Accept in part Accept in part
33	Brandon Dromgool and	0	33.1 Expressed concerns around increased traffic using and also turning off State Highway 26 into the proposed development	Decline	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
	Lyndsay Oldham		33.2 Expresses an expectation for consultation with Waka Kotahi on this proposal and traffic safety investigations completed which would determine what the impact of this development would be		Reject
			33.3 Requests that the proposed developments have a water tank and septic tank, both undergoing regular servicing		Reject
			33.4 Expresses concerns around the following:		Reject
34	Matamata Aeroclub	SA	34.1 Expresses concerns around potential future complaints about aircraft noise by future residents	Accept with amendments	Reject
			• 34.2 Propose that MPDC establish a noise contour plan for the area around the Matamata Aerodrome		Reject
35	Tuatahi Nightingale- Pene	S	35.1 Expresses complete Support for Precinct 1 - Papakāinga Tahi, Precinct 2 - Papakāinga Rua and the District Wide Provisions	Accept	Accept in part
36	Irene Tai- Rakena	S	36.1 Supports the proposed provisions	Accept	Accept in part
37	Te Hanga South Trust	S	 37.1 Trustees request that two land blocks that were mentioned in the submission be set aside in the District Plan under the District Wide provisions The Trust can demonstrate that there is an ancestral connection as well as a legal mechanism in place to ensure the land is maintained in whanau ownership in perpetuity 	Accept	Accept in part insofar as the district wide provisions already apply

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
38	Raymond Kett	U	 38.1 Objects to sending letters that are addresses to people who have passed away 38.2 Proposes a shareholder meeting 38.3 Expresses concerns regarding the name of the Waiti marae map 	U	Reject (insofar as no specific chances to PC54 were requested)
39	Jeff Hirawani	S	39.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Accept in part
40	This submission	on has been in	cluded in submission 17		
41	Brad Hutton	0	41.1 Opposes the proposed plan changes	Decline	Reject
42		0	 42.1 The loss of rural character, and potential affects on mental health and child's welfare Raises concerns with development in the paddock surrounding the submitters' home, including impact on Kaimai views and rural setting. Increase dust and pollution 42.2 Existing hight traffic volumes on State Highway increasing road users around a blind corner would increase the danger at entrance ways Concerns raised about vehicle access onto Tauranga Road 	Decline	Reject
			42.3 The proposed zoning provisions should be made available to all groups on freehold land		Reject
43	David King	S	43.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Accept in part
44	Rev Henare Waaka	SA	 44.1 Supports the plan change but would like General Land owned by Māori to be treated exactly the same as Papakāinga Tahi to enable: Opportunity for whanau to return home to ancestral land 	Accept with amendments	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			 Live collectively and raise mokopuna in a secure and safe environment that values te reo and tikanga Māori Be involved in the social, spiritual, educational and economic heritage of my hapū and iwi Participate in key roles and responsibilities of the marae and support to make Papakāinga a reality 		
45	Mananui Te Uira	S	45.1 Supports the plan change as it allows whanau to build on their whenua	Accept	Accept in part
46		ion has been in	cluded in submission 11		
47	Carolyn Nimmo	S	 47.1 Supports Papakāinga Tahi as it allows for gardening activities on highly productive soils 47.2 Supports Papakāinga Rua as it enables affordable housing and housing suitable for kaumātua and 	Accept	Accept in part Accept in part
			 people with disabilities 47.3 Supports papakāinga development on other Māori-owned land as it increased housing and housing diversity while keeping highly productive soils available for agriculture and building homes in a sustainable and affordable way 		Accept in part
			47.4 Requests further plan changes and enabling Planning rules to allow close-proximity/ communal housing in urban and rural-residential zones, such as new models of co-housing that supports elderly, disabled, and different cultures (Key Issue 1)		Reject
48	Muna Wharawhara	S	48.1 Support in principle Papakāinga Tahi and Rua as an enabling policy that empowers whanau the opportunity to develop and deliver sustainable housing	Accept	Reject

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position	Colonition asints out that the information contra	Sought	
			Submitter points out that the information omits		
40	7 5		councils contribution to infrastructure		1
49	Ian Robert	SA	49.1 The Te Ohaki Marae zoning map includes a Right	Accept with	Reject
	Young, Dana		of Way that belongs to the submitter	amendments	
	Sheree Lewis		Suitable arrangements are to be considered by Council		
	and Ian		when allowing the development to go ahead regarding		
	Young Family		the maintenance and upkeep of the Right of Way		
	Trust		Safety issues arising from increased driveway use and		
			interference of farming operations		
50	Leo George	S	50.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Reject
	Whaiapu		Request for specific blocks (Okauia 2e.3b-2b.1b,		
			Wāhiti Kuranui 6a.1b.3b.2b) to get through		
51	Michael Carey	S	51.1 Supports the plan change	Accept	Accept in part
52	Karen	SA	52.1 Supports the plan change but would like	Accept with	Reject
	Chandler		allowances created for other land owners to add	amendments	
			dwellings to their land for family. E.g. dependent living		
			space		
			Would like other people to be able to add dwellings up		
			to 80sqm under the condition these dwellings are on		
			the Title and that the property will not be subdivided		
53	Mapuna	U	53.1	U	Reject (insofar as no
	Turner		Expresses the definition of Papakāinga		specific changes to PC54
			Expresses that planning instruments should reflect		were requested)
			that Māori landowners have the right to determine		
			how and what their lands shall be use for		
			Identifies that consent has not been given to the		
			planning authority to usurp Māori and landowner		
			rights		

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			 Stipulates that communal living should be decided by the traditional application of accommodating whanau numbers Identifies that colonisation has influences Māori practices – traditional lores of tapu are circular Identifies land use restrictions regarding water resources 		
54	Kāinga Ora	SA, O	54.1 Amend MPZ-O1 to refer to papakāinga, marae and associated commercial activities	Accept with amendments	Reject
			54.2 Retain objective MPZ-O2		Accept
		54.3 Amend MPZ-O3 to refer to the planned environment and delete reference to reverse sensitivity effects		Reject Accept in part Reject Reject Reject Reject Accept in part (insofar as the policy is recommended to be amended to refer to iwi, hapū or whānau ownership in perpetuity —	
				54.4 Amend MPZ-P2 to support provision of papakāinga on all land, not just land in Māori title or where land is held in whānau ownership in perpetuity.	
			54.5 Amend MPZ-P3 to refer to the planned environment rather than the character of the particular zone		Reject
		54.6 Amend MPZ-P4 to delete reference to the functioning of legitimate land uses on adjacent sites		Reject	
			54.7 Delete MPZ-P7 as the submission of a Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary.		Reject
			54.8 Amend MPZ-P8 to delete reference to demonstrating the papakāinga will remain in whānau ownership in perpetuity		the policy is recommended to be amended to refer to iwi, hapū or whānau
			54.9 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(b) relocatable buildings		Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			54.10 Delete requirement for Papakāinga Development Plan		Reject
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including density	Accept with amendments	Reject
			54.11 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(g) relating to home business to allow commercial activity more generally		Reject
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	 Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: Home / commercial business standards 	Accept with amendments	Reject
			54.12 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(h) and manage community facilities, education facilities, and healthcare facilities with development and performance standards		Reject
			54.13 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(2)(a) matters of discretion		Reject
			54.14 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(3)(a)-(e) Discretionary activities		Reject
			54.15 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(b) height in relation to boundary		Reject
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	 Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: Height to boundary 	Accept with amendments	Reject
			• 54.16 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(c) to reduce front, side and rear yards to 5m		Accept in part
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	 Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: Yards 	Accept with amendments	Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			54.17 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(e) to increase maximum building coverage to 40%		Reject
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	 Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including: Building coverage 		Reject
			 54.18 Delete MPZ-PREC1-R(5)(f) Solid waste 54.19 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(a) to remove density requirement 	_	Accept in part Reject
	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including density	Accept with amendments	Reject
			54.20 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(b) one kāinga per 500m² site area in its entirety (and rely on MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(a) as requested to be amended by another submission point)		Accept in part
			• 54.21 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(c) relocatable buildings		Reject
			54.22 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(1)(e) earthworks		Accept
			54.23 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(2)(a) matters of discretion		Reject
			54.24 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(3) Discretionary activities		Reject
			54.25 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(b) height in relation to boundary		Reject
			54.26 Amend MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(e) to increase maximum building coverage to 40%		Reject
			54.27 Delete MPZ-PREC2-R(5)(f) Solid waste	1	Accept in part
FS3	Clarke McKinney	Support Submission 54 in part	Support Kāinga Ora's requested amendments to the MPZs, including:	Accept with amendments	Accept in part
			54.28 Delete MPZ-PR2		Reject

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
NO		Position	Delete MPZ-PR3	Sought	
			 54.29 Amend Papakāinga-O1 to refer to papakāinga, 	+	Reject
			marae and associated commercial activities		Reject
			54.30 Retain Papakāinga-O2	+	Accept
			 54.31 Amend Papakāinga-O3 to refer to the planned 	+	Reject
			environment and delete reference to reverse		Reject
			sensitivity effects		
			54.32 Amend MPZ-PREC1-R(1)(f) to delete the	1	Accept in part
			density of one kāinga per 5000m²		and part of the same
			54.33 Delete Policy Papakāinga-P2	7	Reject
			54.34 Amend Papakāinga-P3 to refer to the planned		Reject
			environment rather than the character of the		
			particular zone		
			54.35 Amend Papakāinga-P4 to delete reference to		Reject
			the functioning of legitimate land uses on adjacent		
			sites		
			54.36 Delete Papakāinga-P7 as the submission of a		Reject
			Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary	_	
			• 54.37 Amend Papakāinga-P8 to delete reference to		Accept in part
			demonstrating the papakāinga will remain in whānau		
			ownership in perpetuity		
			• 54.38 Delete 1.1.2 Plans in its entirety as a		Reject
			Papakāinga Development Plan is not necessary		
			54.39 Remove requirement 1.4.30(ii) providing		Accept in part
			information on management structures for		
			papakāinga.		
			• 54.39 Amend 1.4.30 Papakāinga (assessment matters)		
			to delete (i), (ii), (v), and (viii) and reword (vi) and		Accept in part
			(vii)		

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			54.40 Amend Section 6 to provide for Papakāinga on general title land as well as Māori Freehold Land and Treaty Settlement Land.		Reject
			 54.41 Amend 6.1.1 to make kāinga a Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone and a permitted activity in the Residential Zone Amend Activity Table line 6.1.1 to remove density restriction of five kāinga per site and delete 6.1.2 	-	Accept in part
			54.42 Amend Activity Table line 6.1.1 to remove density restriction of five kāinga per site and delete 6.1.2		Accept in part
			54.43 Amend 6.1.3 to make marae a permitted activity in the residential zones and Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone.		Reject
			54.44 Amend 6.1.4 to delete home businesses and replace with commercial activity less than or equal to 60m², make Restricted Discretionary in Business and Industrial zones, and provide for Restricted Discretionary activity for commercial activities greater than 60m² in the rural, rural residential and residential zones.		Reject
			54.45 Amend 6.1.5 to add communal buildings and make permitted in Residential, Rural and Rural Residential Zones and Restricted Discretionary in the Business Zone		Reject
			54.46 Add a new activity for educational facilities and healthcare facilities, permitted across all zones		Reject
			54.47 Delete Section 6.2	1	Reject

Submission	Submitter	Submitter's	Submission Summary	Decision	Hearing Panel Decision
No		Position		Sought	
			54.48 Reduce the side and rear yard standard from		Reject
			20m to 5m and amend the Note applying to		
			papakāinga spanning multiple records of title		
			• 54.49 Delete 4.4.1.1 requiring submission of a		Reject
			Papakāinga Development Plan		
			• 54.50 Delete 4.4.1.2 maximum building coverage		Reject
			54.51 Amend 4.4.1.4 to delete 10m setback for		Reject
			service area		
			• 54.52 Amend 4.4.1.5 for home businesses so that only		Reject
			heavy vehicle controls and compliance with Permitted		
			activity performance standards and to provide for		
			commercial activities at a kāinga-wide level		
			• 54.53 Delete Performance Standards 4.4.2		Accept in part
			• 54.54 Amend the matters of discretion in 4.4.3		Reject
			• 54.55 Amend the activity status for subdivision in the		Reject
			Rural, Rural Residential, Business, and Māori Purpose		
			zones to Restricted Discretionary	_	
			• 54.56 Delete 6.3.13(i) in its entirety		Reject
			• 54.57 Amend Assessment Criteria 6.3.13(ii)		Reject
			54.57 Amend Assessment Criteria 6.3.13(ii)		
			• 54.58 Amend 9.1.2 Access (v)(iii) to increase		Reject
			threshold for number of kāinga to trigger compliance		
			with the Development Manual		
			54.59 Amend to remove reference to Papakāinga		Reject
			Development Plan		
			54.60 Replace the definition of Papakāinga with a		Reject
			definition that allows for papakāinga on general title		

Submission No	Submitter	Submitter's Position	Submission Summary	Decision Sought	Hearing Panel Decision
			land and where there is no requirement to prove whakapapa to that land.		
			54.61 Amend definition so it is not restricted to the use of a building for one household only		Reject
55 LATE SUBMISSION	Matamata Soaring Centre	SA	55.1 Support the intentions to enable quality Papakāinga development and opportunities for landowners	Support with amendments	Accept in part
			55.2 Plan change should include explicit reference to the existing Matamata airport protection zones		Reject
			55.3 Council should include noise mitigation measures to avoid reverse sensitivity issues from the Matamata/Waharoa aerodrome (for example a setback from the airfield)		Reject