

# Council

## Open Minutes



Minutes of a meeting of Matamata-Piako District Council held in the Council Chambers, 35 Kenrick Street, TE AROHA on Wednesday 9 August 2017 at 9:15am.

---



## Present

|                    |                |                  |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| <b>Mayor</b>       | Jan Barnes, JP |                  |
| <b>Councillors</b> | Donna Arnold   | James Sainsbury  |
|                    | Teena Cornes   | Ash Tanner       |
|                    | Paul Cronin    | Kevin Tappin     |
|                    | Neil Goodger   | Adrienne Wilcock |
|                    | Brian Hunter   |                  |
|                    | Peter Jager    |                  |

## Also Present

|                            |  |                      |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Don McLeod                 | Chief Executive                          |                      |
| Caroline Hubbard           | Committee Secretary                      |                      |
| Michelle Staines-Hawthorne | Corporate Strategy Manager               | Items 10.1,10.2,10.3 |
| Susanne Kampshof           | Asset Manager                            | Item 11.1,13.1       |
| Jenni Cochrane             | Strategy & Policy Communications Manager | Items 10.1,10.2,10.3 |
| Niall Baker                | Acting Senior Policy Planner             | Item 10.2,10.3       |
| Ally van Kuijk             | District Planner                         | Item 11.1            |
| Mark Hamilton              | Environmental Policy planner             | Item 11.1            |
| Graham Robertson           | Utility Engineer - Assets                | Item 13.1            |
| Manaia Te Wiata            | Group Manager Business support           | Item 13.1            |

## In Attendance

|                            |                              |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Rexine Hawes, MM Chronicle | In at 9.20am, out at 11.10am |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|

**1 Meeting Opening**

Mayor Jan Barnes welcomed everyone and declared the meeting open at 9.15am

**2 Apologies**

That the apology from Cr J Thomas be accepted and leave of absence from the meeting be granted.

**Moved by: Cr Ash B Tanner**

**Seconded by: Cr Adrienne Wilcock**

**CARRIED**

**3 Leave of absence**

No leave of absence was requested.

**4 Notification of Urgent Additional Business**

To consider, and if thought fit, to pass a resolution to permit the Council to consider any further items which do not appear on the Agenda of this meeting and/or the meeting to be held with the public excluded.

Such resolution is required to be made pursuant to Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the Chairperson must advise:

- (i) The reason why the item was not on the Agenda, and
- (ii) The reason why the discussion of this item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

**5 Declaration of interest**

Members are reminded of their obligation to declare any conflicts of interest they might have in respect of the items on this Agenda.

**6 Confirmation of minutes**

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Ordinary Meeting of Matamata-Piako District Council held on Wednesday, 12 July 2017, with amendment noted, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

**Moved by: Cr Ash B Tanner**

**Seconded by: Cr Donna Arnold**

**CARRIED**

**7 Matters Arising**

**8 Announcements**

There were no announcements.

**9 Notices of Motion**

There were no notices of motion.

## DECISION MAKING

### 10 Executive

#### 10.1 Significant and Engagement Policy Review 2017

##### Executive Summary

Council is required to have a Significant and Engagement Policy. The purpose of the Policy is to provide the community with assurances on when they can expect council to engage with them. The Local Government Act 2002 (the Act) provides for council to amend its policy *from time to time*. To ensure the Policy remains relevant to our community, it would be timely to review the Policy in line with the Long Term Plan project.

##### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That:

1. The report is received.
2. Council determines that it has *sufficient information about community interests and preferences to enable the purpose of the policy to be achieved* and adopts the amended Significant and Engagement Policy as attached to this report.

Moved by: Cr Kevin R Tappin

Seconded by: Cr Ash B Tanner

**CARRIED**

## 10.2 Community Funding Assistance

### Executive Summary

Community Funding Assistance sits within the Strategy and Engagement Activity of the Long Term Plan. Council provides community funding through various grants and funding policies. As part of the Activity Plan reviews for the 2018-28 Long Term Plan (LTP)

Council has resolved that they would like to review the LTP grant funding process. This report attaches broad criteria and a proposed process for reviewing proposals prior to finalising the draft Long Term Plan budgets.

### Comments

- Get the groups into the boardroom
- Understand their goals, aims.
- Run a series of funding workshops for not for profit groups (staff have run this for the last 2 years)

### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

#### That:

1. The information is received.
2. Council confirms its approach to review the annual operating grants provided through the Long Term Plan.

Moved by: Cr Adrienne J Wilcock  
Seconded by: Cr Brian L Hunter

**CARRIED**

### 10.3 Voting systems

#### Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to seek Council's confirmation on which voting system to use for the 2019 local body elections. The First Past the Post (FPP) voting system was used by Council for the 2016 elections and is used by most councils throughout New Zealand.

Council may resolve to change the voting system to Single Transferable Vote (STV) if it so wishes, and this report highlights the process and timelines associated with changing the voting system.

#### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That:

1. The information be received.
2. Council confirms the First Past the Post (FPP) system as the electoral system for the 2019 triennial elections and this decision be publicly notified by 19 September 2017 in accordance with statutory requirements including the public's right to demand a poll on this decision;
3. Council confirms its position supporting online voting should the opportunity arise

Moved by: Cr Kevin R Tappin  
Seconded by: Cr Neil C Goodger

**CARRIED**

Cr P Cronin voted against the motion.

#### **10.4 Waikato Regional Airport - Review of Directors Fees and Tenure**

##### **Executive Summary**

This report seeks a decision on a request from Waikato Regional Airport Limited to review the directors fees and tenure.

##### **COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**That:**

- 1. Council resolve to support the change in director's fees for Waikato Regional Airport Limited.**
- 2. Council resolve to support the amendments to the tenure of directorships.**
- 3. Council nominate the Mayor or Chief Executive to vote accordingly at the Waikato Regional Airport Limited Annual General Meeting.**

**Moved by: Cr Neil C Goodger**  
**Seconded by: Cr Paul Cronin**

**CARRIED**



## 11 Business Support

### 11.1 Horrell Road Traffic Update And Options

#### Executive Summary

As part of Plan Change 47 the rezoning of part of Horrell Road was considered.

The option consulted on for the plan change is not supported by the NZ Transport Agency and they require a right turn bay at the Murray/State Highway 26 intersection and additional road widening at the Horrell/State Highway 26 intersection.

A peer review was conducted and the conclusion of this is that right turn bays at both intersections is desirable, but from an effects based approach the proposed widening at Horrell Road is appropriate.

Council has the following options

- removing the zoning of Horrell Road as part of Plan Change 47 and re-look at the options,
- to proceed with a hearing to debate this matter around right turn bays,
- to proceed with including option 2A and the right turn bay and additional road widening in the Plan Change.

#### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That:

1. Council receives the report;
2. Council determines it wishes to proceed with Option 2A with the addition of a right turn bay at Murrays Road but with no additional road widening to what is currently proposed at the new Horrell Road intersection.

Moved by: Cr Paul Cronin

Seconded by: Cr Donna C Arnold

**CARRIED**

Cr K Tappin noted a perceived Conflict of Interest.

## 12 Community Development

### 12.1 Delegated Authority for Private Plan Changes

#### Executive Summary

Council periodically receives applications for private plan changes that propose alterations to the District Plan. In relation to private plan changes, under the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act), Council has the option to adopt, accept, reject or convert the request to a resource consent application.

Council is limited as to the matters on which it can reject a private plan change, and it therefore vital that Council has the ability to make a submission on any private plan change application that it accepts. Given that Council is the decision maker for a private plan change, they cannot also lodge a submission on it.

As Council is permitted to delegate to an employee certain functions, powers and duties under the Act, the ability to make a submission, on behalf of Council, is sought for the Chief Executive Officer and Group Manager Community Development in relation to private plan changes.

#### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

##### That:

1. In accordance with section 34A(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991, delegated authority is given to the Chief Executive Officer or Group Manager Community Development to submit on Council's behalf on any private plan change lodged with Council.

Moved by: Cr Ash B Tanner  
Seconded by: Cr James P Sainsbury

**CARRIED**

## **12.2 Plan Change 47: Delegated authority to appoint an independent hearing commissioner**

### **Executive Summary**

While Council is normally called on to adjudicate plan change hearings, in some instances this may not be appropriate and an independent hearings commissioner may be required to hear submissions and make a decision.

At times, commissioners may need to be appointed at short notice, outside of the Council meeting schedule. Council is permitted to delegate to an employee certain functions, powers and duties under the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act). On behalf of Council the ability to appoint an independent hearings commissioner is sought for the Chief Executive Officer and the Group Manager Community Development. This commissioner will then consider a section of Plan Change 47 where there is a conflict of interest for Council

### **COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**That:**

- 1. In accordance with sections 34(1) of the Resource Management Act 1991, delegated authority is given to the Chief Executive Officer or the Group Manager Community Development to appoint an independent hearings commissioner who can hear a section of Plan Change 47 on Council's behalf.**

**Moved by: Cr Ash B Tanner**

**Seconded by: Cr Adrienne J Wilcock**

**CARRIED**

The meeting adjourned for morning tea at 10.20am and reconvened at 10.38am

## INFORMATION ONLY

### 13 Executive

#### 13.1 Freshwater Update

##### Executive Summary

This report further updates council on developments in respect of freshwater and in particular a Symposium organised by Local Government NZ and the release by the Waikato Regional Council (WRC) of their Waikato Freshwater Strategy.

The way fresh water is managed is going to change. This includes the allocation, cost and disposal. This is being driven both at a national and regional level.

These changes will affect all existing and potential users. As this is an essential resource, there are many stakeholders involved and some potentially conflicting objectives.

It would appear that central government wishes to delegate as much responsibility as possible to regional government. This would result in a significant flow-on affect to local government especially in terms of efficiencies in respect of water usage and the treatment of wastewater and thus the cost to ratepayers.

MPDC will need to take a close interest in the development of the WRC 2018-28 long term plan which will reflect the issues discussed above

The WRC strategy is a bold step in addressing matters but is likely to significantly change the way water is allocated, costed and disposed of. The only certainty is that there will be significant additional costs to be met by both regional and district ratepayers.

##### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

**That:**

**The report be received.**

**Moved by: Cr James P Sainsbury**

**Seconded by: Cr Brian L Hunter**

**CARRIED**

### 13.2 CEO Professional Development

#### **Executive Summary**

The Chief Executive's employment agreement provides for personal development.

Each September an organisation known as the International City Managers Association (ICMA) holds the largest conference for local government practitioners, in the world.

There are multiple work streams covering all manner of local government policy and practise. These may include Economic Development opportunities, urban planning, community facilities and community consultation and engagement.

Council authorised Don McLeod's attendance in 2005 and at that stage the number of delegates exceeded 5000 from America, Australasia and Europe.

In September 2018 the conference is to be held in Baltimore USA and Don McLeod has asked Council to consider approving his attendance.

#### **COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**That:**

- 1. The Chief Executive Officer's professional development is held over to 2018 to attend the International City Managers Association conference in Baltimore, USA.**

**Moved by: Cr Ash B Tanner**

**Seconded by: Cr Paul Cronin**

**CARRIED**

**13.3 Mayoral Diary for July 2017**

The Mayoral Diary for the period 1 July 2017 to 31 July 2017 is attached.

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**That the report be received.**

**Moved by: Mayor Jan E Barnes**  
**Seconded by: Cr Adrienne J Wilcock**

**CARRIED**

**14 Urgent Additional Business**

There was no urgent additional business

## C1 Waharoa Industrial Development

As part of Plan Change 50, Waharoa Industrial Zoning, Staff are reporting back on the further work that has been completed to provide water and waste services to industries.

CH2M Beca were engaged to complete some work on providing options and estimates to provide water and waste services to companies that have expressed interest in utilising Council services on existing or proposed newly zoned land.

The study has shown that to utilise the Waihou River to source water and also to dispose of waste is in the range of \$43.9million to \$53.2million.

Council is asked whether it wishes to proceed with consultation with major industries who had initially expressed interest or whether it wishes to proceed with the plan change on the basis that no other than domestic services will be provided.

### Content

#### Background

The Waharoa township has a population of approximately 500 residents, and has a number of industries established within the township itself or within close proximity. There is currently no industrial zoned land which isn't already subdivided. There has been a recent 'light' industrial subdivision granted in Waharoa which is still partially undeveloped. This is located on rural zoned land. At this stage two vegetable related industries have indicated their interest in establishing operations in Waharoa and have provided indicative water and wastewater servicing requirements.

The dwellings are serviced with water, waste water and stormwater. Water is provided through the Matamata scheme which includes two bores that are fed into the reticulation network with the addition of a water intake at Tills Road. Open Country Dairy (OCD) located in Waharoa is a large user of the water in the total scheme. A wastewater system is provided which takes domestic waste water via reticulation to the treatment plant in Matamata.

The current water and waste systems mainly cater for residential use with some individual agreements that have been set up with particular industries and other large users. However the existing services are at their capacity. A report to the Corporate and Operations Committee dated 24 February 2016 confirmed the main issues as being:

#### Utilities

- We have received requests from a number of developments for either/both water and waste water.
- The latest land which has been subdivided into industrial zoning can only be serviced with water and waste on a non-industrial usage basis
- There is no capacity to provide any water and waste for wet industries.
- Transportation is assessed on an individual basis and a stretch of road which is situated in the middle of the township is not a public road.
- Gas and Electricity are either limited in availability or do not exist at all.

To date preliminary consultation with key stakeholders, developers and property owners has taken place and a water and wastewater servicing options report has been prepared by CH2M Beca.

An assessment of utilities infrastructure has been carried out by Council staff and identified the main issues with regard to water and wastewater services as being:

Water

1. Capacity of the various water sources
2. Total annual and daily resource consent limits
3. Capacity of the pipeline from Tawari WTP to Waharoa

Wastewater

1. Capacity of the pump line from Waharoa to Matamata.
2. The capacity of the reticulation from the end of the pump line to WWTP.
3. The actual and design capacity of the Treatment Plant – both treatment and flows.
4. The discharge limits to the Mangawhero Stream.

The assessment of utilities infrastructure considered the water servicing options as being:

Upgrade existing water sources and associated reticulation including increased storage in Waharoa and increased consented daily and annual takes. Details of the current sources are:

- a. Tills Road: surface take – limited by need for residual flow in stream
- b. Tawari: two bores with one unable to currently produce at authorised rate – limited by drawdown testing
- c. Matamata South: one bore with possibility of a second – subject to a 7 day draw down test. Would double capacity
- d. Waharoa Airfield – new bore with treatment and a second line to Waharoa yet to be installed.

The supply capacity of these sources (m<sup>3</sup>/day) is summarised in the table below.

|                                | Current     | Future      |   |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Max. capacity from Tawari      | 1770        | 2000        |   |
| Available from Airfield        |             | 1500        |   |
| <b>Total</b>                   | <b>1770</b> | <b>3500</b> |   |
| less other requirements        | 400         | 1100        |   |
| OCD                            | 1370        | 1370        |   |
| Potential additional available |             | 930         | Town 500, Purelands 200;<br>New Plant 400 |



The OCD flows have been in the range of 1400 to 1600 m<sup>3</sup>/day during Oct – Dec (2015 data) and this demand was juggled with the limited reservoir capacity in Waharoa.

OCD projected water requirements are for a peak day average flow of 3,500m<sup>3</sup>/day, so it is clear that without even taking into account the indicative vegetable related industries demand requirements, the existing sources are not able to provide sufficient flows.

Council staff have extensively investigated other sources without success and only further options appears to be sourcing water from the Waihou River.

The assessment of utilities infrastructure considered the wastewater servicing options as being:

1. Duplication of the Waharoa wastewater pump station and pipeline.
2. New line from end of pump line to WWTP.
3. Increase the capacity of WWTP and/or require high standard of effluent received – however still flow limitation
4. Increase the discharge limits to the Mangawhero Stream - likely to be impossible to increase due to limitation of stream capacity.

In their report CH2M Beca refer to the Waihou River as being a suitable water source with available allocation. For the Waihou River water treatment plant processes are required to remove colour, turbidity, organics and iron and manganese. The following processes have been identified specifically with those parameters in mind:

1. Coagulation, clarification, dual media filtration, second stage filters and UV. This process combines the protozoa removal and the biological removal into a single filter.
2. Coagulation, clarification, membrane filtration, GAC media filtration, iron and manganese removal filters and UV. This process requires three separate filtration processes for protozoa, organics and iron and manganese removal. Membrane removal provides a more robust process for protozoa removal.

CH2M Beca considered two wastewater treatment options to manage the predominantly industrial loads.

1. An aerated lagoon with an initial anoxic tank to promote biological nitrogen removal followed by solids separation including a clarifier and disc filtration. The primary advantage of this solution is the buffering from the aerated pond on variable loads including any shock load from industrial discharges.
2. A membrane bioreactor. This option produces very high effluent quality at a comparatively small footprint.

It is recommended that the wastewater treatment plant is located closer to the Waharoa township to reduce issues with pipe fouling and wastewater septicity and allow for a gravity sewer to the plant. The water treatment plant is recommended to be located closer to the

Waihou River. The pipelines to both plants are recommended to go in a shared trench with the alignment being along the Mowbray Rd.

Additional water storage will be required to supplement the existing reservoir in Waharoa..

Concept level capital cost estimates (-30%, +50%) were developed for the water and wastewater schemes, including capital and operating costs for the treatment options. These costs are summarised below:

| Area   | Cost (\$)                                       |
|--|---|
| <b>Wastewater</b>                            |   |
| Option 1: Aerated pond                       | Capex:\$20,900,000<br>Opex:\$2,020,000 per year |
| Option 2: Membrane bioreactor                | Capex:\$25,600,000<br>Opex:\$2,350,000 per year |
| <b>Water</b>                                 |   |
| Option 1: Media filtration                   | Capex:\$9,000,000<br>Opex:\$550,000 per year    |
| Option2: Membrane filtration                 | Capex:\$13,600,000<br>Opex:\$730,000 per year   |
| <b>Reservoir</b>                             | \$5,200,000                                     |
| <b>Pipelines</b>                             |   |
| Water and wastewater pipelines (same trench) | \$8,800,000                                     |

The concept level cost estimates have been developed based on previous projects completed by CH2M Beca for comparison purposes and includes an allowance for land purchase and resource consents and monitoring. It should be noted that costs at this level are not suitable for budgeting purposes due to the number of uncertainties around flows, loads and consent conditions.

The next stage to progress the project would be to commission the preparation of an Assessment of Effects report including a full ecological assessment of the effects of the proposed wastewater discharge on the river. This would provide the information required to establish the definitive treatment targets and refine treatment processes and cost estimates. Consultation with the Waikato Regional Council would also need to commence to initiate the resource consent process and determine what the likely discharge and water take limits and consent conditions may be. Preliminary assessment to identify suitable sites for both the water and wastewater treatment plants would also be undertaken.

Since the report has been completed by our consultants, a meeting has been held with Open Country Dairy. The future of water use was discussed with them.

They have progressed with their on-site bore to provide for some of their water need and have obtained Regional Council consent for the extraction.

For wastewater OCD have also started work on obtaining a Regional Council consent for discharge into the Waitoa River.

### Roading

The use of two entries and exits to the proposed re-zoned land makes transport somewhat easier. Vehicles are able to use Hawes Street at the northern end or Landsdowne/Factory Road at the southern end to get to and from the site from State Highway 27.

There is potentially some minor upgrade works required at the Landsdowne Road intersection.

The main issue with regards to roading is the private part of the Factory Road. The private part of the road has a legal mechanism over it which most adjoining property owners are party to.

The pavement of this private road is not good. There would need to be some major work done to the pavement to ensure it is upgraded to Council standard. This is likely to be in the order of \$250,000. Only a small portion of this work would be able to be attributed to growth and most would be renewal.

There is also the matter of road function. It is one wide road corridor, although there is a carriageway, vehicles are able and do use the entire road width to complete their movements. There are storage sheds and goods are moved not only to site but also between individual buildings, making it a very busy site. Some work will need to be done to ensure all the traffic is managed in a safe manner. This has not yet been costed.

Staff have not formally approached the property owner about vesting the road in Council.

## **Analysis**

### **Options considered**

In considering the options with regard to water and wastewater servicing the issues are identified as being the cost of the infrastructure; supply versus demand and commitment from industries.

1. Status quo
2. Continue with the plan change as proposed and zone extra land, but provide no services other than domestic use of water and waste.
3. Report back to the major industries and seek commitment on funding for these key services before reporting back to Council and proceeding with more detailed investigation and formal consultation with the regional council.

The preferred option is to report back to industries and gauge the level of commitment knowing the scale of investment required to construct and operate the infrastructure. Then report back to Council.

### **Analysis of preferred option**

The preferred option of reporting back to the major industries and gauging their commitment will ensure that Council does not allocate funding for a project that is not supported by the industries and is not at risk of funding for capital works that won't recover the funding back.

The second stage would entail:

- Commissioning the preparation of an Assessment of Effects report including a full ecological assessment of the effects of the proposed wastewater discharge on the river;
- Consultation with the Waikato Regional Council (WRC) to initiate the resource consent process and determine what the likely discharge and water take limits and consent conditions may be;
- Commissioning a consultant to review their preliminary design and cost estimates based on the Assessment of Effects report and WRC feedback;
- Carrying out a preliminary assessment to identify suitable sites for both the water and wastewater treatment plants;
- Reporting back to industries to confirm their level of commitment.

### **Legal and statutory requirements**

If Council wishes to proceed with the second stage engineering reports and these are completed, a decision whether it wishes to proceed with the next stage; specifically resource consent applications and land purchase with associated statutory requirements.

### **Impact on policy and bylaws**

If Council proceeds with the project Tradewaste agreements will need to be created with the individual industries.

### **Consistency with the Long Term Plan / Annual Plan**

There is currently no identified project in the Annual Plan that reflects this. There are some infrastructure projects identified in the Infrastructure strategy that caters for general growth in the Matamata/Waharoa area.

Currently the cost of the upgrade works has been included in the draft LTP but Council will need to discuss whether it wishes to retain these budgets or remove them.

### **Impact on Significance and Engagement Policy**

Section 90(2) of the Local Government Act 2002 requires Council to identify 'strategic assets' in its 'Significance Policy'.

Strategic assets are defined as "an asset or group of assets that a local authority needs to retain if they are to maintain the local authority's capacity to achieve or promote any outcome that they determine to be important to the current or future wellbeing of the Community" (see Section 5, Local Government Act 2002 for complete definition).

Council adopted a new Significance and Engagement Policy on 12 November 2014. The new infrastructure (treatment plants and reticulation) will be included as 'strategic assets' in the Significance and Engagement Policy 2014.

### **Communication, consultation and decision making processes**

Throughout the various stages Council will need to consult with key stakeholders with decision making centring on industry level of commitment.

### **Consent issues**

There are currently no consent issues as it does not involve any physical works at this stage.

### **Timeframes**

It is expected that these technical reports will take in the order of 6 to 12 months once the funding has been approved.

### **Contribution to Community Outcomes**

Contributes to the community outcome of connected infrastructure and economic opportunities.

## **Financial Impact**

### **i. Cost**

Based on the estimates provided by the consultant (-30%, +50%) the costs prior to land purchase and detailed design would be in the order of \$1.3m.

### **ii. Funding Source**

It is proposed to fund this from industry contribution. But mechanisms of funding will still need to be determined.

## **COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

**That:**

- 1. Council receives the report**
- 2. Council wishes to report back to the major industries and seek commitment on funding for key services before reporting back to Council and proceeding with more detailed investigation and formal consultation with the Waikato Regional Council.**
- 3. Council approve in principle an Assessment of Environmental Effects report relating to the proposed discharge into the Waihou River and confirmation of funding for this from 2016/17 reserves**
- 4. this report is released from Public Excluded, subject to taking out industry sensitivity information.**

**Moved by: Cr Paul Cronin**  
**Seconded by: Cr Ash B Tanner**

**CARRIED**

## 15 Procedural motion to exclude the public

### COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That the public be excluded from the following part(s) of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution follows.

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public, as follows:

#### C1 Waharoa Industrial development

| Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter  | Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)   | Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution  |
|--|---|---|
| The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. | s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities.<br>. | s48(1)(a)<br><br>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. |

#### C2 Chief Executive Officers Performance Review

| Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter  | Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)  | Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution  |
|--|--|---|
| The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. | s7(2)(g) - The withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege.<br>.<br>s7(2)(j) - The withholding of the information is necessary to prevent the disclosure or use of official information for improper gain or improper advantage.<br>. | s48(1)(a)<br><br>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. |

**C3 Easter 2017 Flooding Event**

| Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter  | Particular interest(s) protected (where applicable)   | Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution  |
|--|---|---|
| The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. | s7(2)(g) - The withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege.<br>.<br>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable the local authority to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).<br>. | s48(1)(a)<br><br>The public conduct of the part of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding exists under section 7. |

The text of these resolutions is made available to the public who are present at the meeting and form part of the minutes of the meeting.

**Moved by: Cr Donna C Arnold**  
**Seconded by: Cr Kevin R Tappin**

**CARRIED**

11.10am The public were excluded.

Resolutions in relation to the confidential items are recorded in the confidential section of these minutes and are not public available.

11.56 am

The Chairperson thanked Members for their attendance and attention to business and declared the meeting closed.

CONFIRMED AS A TRUE AND CORRECT RECORD  
AT A MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON

**DATE:** 13 September 2017

**CHAIRPERSON:**.....

J E Barnes, JP